

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 05/12/2025 1:49 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Meopham

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>I strongly object to this application. The proposal is dangerous to the local and proposed road users. Access into and out of the development is from a tiny rural lane which is unprepared to handle such an increase in activity. There is the problem which is why Meopham has developed over time into one long village where the whole village is supported by one very long road - the A227. All residents are desperate to get onto the A227 as soon as possible to start their journeys. We live behind St John's church and in busy times it can take minutes, sometimes numerous minutes, to access the road as both directions are solid traffic. We are patient and wait for a gap, however, not everyone shares this patience and you see desperate residents joining when there is no time to join. When the motorways kick off vehicles the problem becomes even worse. This road will become busier once the Lower Thames Crossing is completed. All side roads off of the A227 are tiny rural roads which were put in to support the local farms and not to support today's traffic. Putting in more developments will only exacerbate this problem. It is desperately poor planning. Furthermore, to lose this high grade farmland already in short supply to support a poorly placed housing development with inadequate local resources is wrong. There is insufficient schooling, transport, medical services, shopping to support these developments. Meopham is historically a small farming village. People moved to Meopham because they wanted to live in a small farming village. They enjoy the countryside and the rural environment. The urban sprawl that is being proposed is not supported by local residents. It is completely out of keeping with the current village housing. These fields are areas of outstanding natural beauty and should</p>

be protected rather than concreted over to add housing in the middle of nowhere with no services to support these poor people. The affordable dwellings initiative is clearly well intended but Meopham is essentially in the middle of nowhere from an employment perspective. Residents need access to cars or train services. The train services to London are incredibly expensive and not well serviced. Given the cost of cars and running cars the assumption is that lower cost houses do not own cars. This will just create horrible isolation for the occupants. Expensive trains or limited local buses to go anywhere other than stay at home in an old field in the middle of the Kent countryside - completely crazy!

---

Kind regards