

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/12/2025 12:20 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>Specific Objections</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The size of this proposed development would result in a huge increase in the volume of traffic in this area, especially on the A227 which is already a very busy road.2. The road network is essentially rural and would be dangerously incapable of coping with the additional volume of traffic this development would entail.3. The proposed access to the development is dangerous.4. Pressure on local services. Meopham Surgery is not able to satisfactorily care for the number of patients it already has. This development (with the possibility of two others) will cause great difficulty. There appears to be no provision in the development for increased medical services.5. There appears to be no provision for increased education services (though one assumes there will be increased demand from children living on the development.)4. Food security. The loss of agricultural land is of great concern re national food security in the light of climate change and food supply issues.
-----------	--

6. Destruction of the character of Meopham. Currently a ribbon development village, it will in essence become a New Town.

General Objections

1. Government Northern Powerhouse and Levelling Up policies speak against further population expansion in the South East of England generally and thus Meopham.
2. Government pledges on population control speak against increased need for housing provision in the medium term future. Development in Meopham is thus unnecessary.
3. Destruction of protected Green Belt areas is totally unacceptable. It damages the present natural environment and the heritage we pass on to future generations.

Why is this plan (and two others) being submitted? There are other far more suitable brownfield sites in locations with better-established infrastructure in the Gravesham area. Could it be because the financial returns for the developers will be greater?

Kind regards