

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/12/2025 2:59 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Wrotham road Meopham Kent

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Housing
	I strongly object to this application for the following reasons
	Having lived in Meopham for the past 53 years I have first hand experienced the increase in traffic, difficulty to get appointments at doctor surgeries and capacity at Darenth Valley hospital.
	The increase in dangerous traffic and parking around school areas and the Camer parade of shops, right opposite to this potential development. This will potentially cause more danger to adults and children as well as increased slow traffic idling pollution close to 2 schools.
	Traffic is at capacity already, With the lower Thames Crossing imminent traffic is already due to increase.
	I am aware that the Gravesham Borough Council have already raised their concerns for the level of impact the additional traffic would have.
	It also appears that this proposal is in direct conflict to the current Gravesham local plan and NPPF
	Surface water drainage problems and flooding already exist and would be

compounded as well as sewage capabilities.

Increased sound and light pollution in this beautiful village, within metres of a densely populated conservation area with some of the oldest recorded buildings and history In Meopham and high-level wildlife, including; Bats, Badgers (Act1992) deer mice birds, including sparrowhawks who nest in the adjacent Sequoiaadendron and Woodland, which any building work and subsequent re population with dwellings, people and cars would obviously disrupt and destroy.

I see that for some reason there was no EIA carried out on this land which I feel requires explanation.

Building on Greenbelt land-

The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open, inappropriate development is, by definition, harmful to the Green Belt and can only be approved in very special circumstances see Policy CS02: Scale and Distribution of Development of the Local Plan Core Strategy.

This proposed plan goes against all of those policies and criteria.

The proposed new dwellings would put more pressure on the local facilities and potential sustainability of all services ongoing.

Surely the development of Brownfield sites would be much more beneficial to the area, investment in the dying towns, sites such as the old Gravesend Hospital, building and regenerating the infrastructure is in these areas would be a more beneficial investment in the community as a whole.

In summary, I object to any principal means of vehicular access to this site  
And any proposed residential dwellings in this public open space of the greenbelt land in Meopham Village.

---

Kind regards