

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/03/2026 4:44 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Nash Street Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I would like to object to all of the proposed development above on the grounds of the following reasons. -Closure of Norwood Lane at the top end of the lane would restrict emergency access to the residents of Norwood Lane and the surrounding lanes and main roads. With the potential to cut off the residents of the Meopham/Norwood lane estate when the lower road area of Norwood lane which joins the Wrotham Road is often shut for various utility works. -The land is green belt agricultural land used for farming. In this fragile world we live in it is important to keep the ability to top quality farm land. Along with the additional risks of flooding and carbon sequestration. -The site is not currently included in the draft regulation 19 local plan. -Development would impact the Kent Downs National Landscape. -Current infrastructure and utilities currently insufficient. Water companies have said they do not have the capacity for extra water supply demand required for such development. -All the emergency services are at full stretch without adding more residents to out lying areas which they cannot get to in timely manners putting lives at risk. -The area often loses power due to its fragile infrastructure and extra houses would put additional strain on the grid. - Local GP services have already voiced their opinions stating they simply do not have the capacity for additional patients.
-----------	--

- Local schools are also full and the developers have said they have allowed space for a school but where is the funding and staff for this?
 - The loss of irreplaceable wildlife habitat. With other councils trying to re wild areas, why is Kent allowing theirs to be concreted over.
 - The whole area grids to a halt with one accident or set of traffic lights, extra traffic from large housing developments would only add to the struggling roads around the area. The lanes already become gridlocked on a frequent basis with sat navs diverting non local traffic along our narrow lanes and having extra traffic from additional houses would add to this. Increasing pollution to the rural area.
 - Surely all brownfield sites, of which there are many in the Gravesham area should be explored first.
 - The footpaths in the area are totally insufficient and with there not being a suitable footpath from Meopham to Istead Rise.
 - The bus service is very poor and unreliable.
 - Train commuters regularly stand from Sole Street/Meopham to London on their daily commute. Showing that service is already at capacity too.
 - Wildlife will lose its habitat. There is a large variety of animals, birds and insects that would be wiped out. Including Skylarks which are on the red list who fill the land and air in the Spring and Summer months.
-

Kind regards