

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/12/2025 6:30 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Nash Street Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I object to this proposal for a number of reasons.

The selection of this site has been by the land owner/developers and not based on good town planning principles as directed by professional town planners.

Its should be refused based on the local plan, which is drawn up as a set of rules and guidelines to follow.

It is designated Green Belt Lane.

This is high grade food producing agricultural land, which once paved over will be gone forever.

Loss of wildlife habitat and the animals that live there, again once gone will be gone forever. The red list sky larks that inhabit the site, breeding and successfully thriving would be lost. I have personally seen there and heard them in that area, which has been overlooked by the plans.

The local infrastructure is not fit to support any further development. The roads and lanes are frequently grid locked.

Public transport links are limited and therefore the extra traffic from any additional development would further add to the congestion problems.

Flooding - risk of flooding for the houses and roads in and around Norwood Lane, there would be nowhere for the excess water to run other than into peoples properties.

The local community infrastructure is close to or at capacity - its almost impossible to get a GP's appointment now in the local surgeries, the local

schools are full.

Brownfield sites in the Gravesham area should be explored in the first instance before any green belt land is touched. Green belt should be protected at all costs and not allowed to be developed. These sites should be identified by careful consideration and planning and not just the greed of the landowners and developers wanting to make a profit. The idea that they will leave areas for schools and GP surgeries to be built is simply unfair and misleading as in reality are these ever built?

I object to this development.

Kind regards