

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/11/2025 7:43 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Cheyne Walk Meopham Gravesend

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- This specific proposal should also be considered in conjunction with at least two other major development proposals in the immediate area. I do strongly object to this development.</li><li>- Development located off of Norwood lane is proposed but seems to ignore the feasibility of a single car lane road being appropriate as access.</li><li>Regular users of the A227 will advise that almost weekly there is some form of disruption given the growing volume of traffic. development in Norwood lane will impact this further.</li><li>- Temporary lights in the proposed development locale are a regular and disruptive feature, creating significant delay and chaos in adjoining narrow lanes.</li><li>- Human behaviour is at odds with elements of the proposal. The developer highlights proximity to Meopham station and works to move bus stops.</li><li>- A regular bus service is a myth. There are no buses travelling through Meopham that align with train timetables. Additional commuters will drive to the station which with return to work post covid at typically 4 days per week where parking is at capacity.</li><li>- Commuters do not walk, commuters drive to get to the train station.</li><li>- The access to the site will lead to traffic collisions, impatient drivers exiting/entering will meet traffic using the A227. Knowledge of driver behaviour rather than statistics will see the high risk associated.</li><li>- Aligned with this will be the increase in large vans and lorries in the area - constantly. 350 houses - Potentially, 700 cars + Delivery and Service Vehicles. Weekly shopping will be through internet/delivery and the development will have little impact to convenience/top up shops using local shops.</li><li>- The parade of shops has caused an accident black spot.</li><li>- There is a visual impact on the adjacent Conservation Area.</li><li>- This is a large farmland site fully used for food production.</li><li>- I draw attention to the recent build of a car park and drop off area at Meopham Secondary school and reference again human behaviour. It has made no difference to the number of cars that park on corners, yellow lines or in the school grounds.</li><li>- Norwood lane has parking restrictions in place today and there does not seem</li></ul>
-----------	---

to be appropriate consideration for the number of cars that would use and visit the development.

- The development will lose productive rural land and impact woodland (interesting to read Daily Telegraph article November 15th and Woodland Trust commentary).
  - The A227 is a long but effective cut through from A2 to M20 and vice versa where problems on either of those two major routes immediately create traffic issues locally. The development in conjunction with others proposed will add to the queues of traffic attempting to join this road.
  - I object to mature farmland being turned in to concrete, impacting local farmers ability to produce local goods.
  - There will be an impact to wildlife already under strain from major nearby developments.
  - Developers do not compensate for loss of habitat, new housing estates always will look like new housing estates.
  - Meopham Primary and Secondary schools are at capacity with the latter new build having a quick succession additional building to compensate for the widening catchment areas. The secondary school is not a school for local children, it is a school for a wide catchment area. Access to local schools cannot be assumed by the developer.
  - The local GP (significantly sized) cannot cope with current population. A new surgery is needed.
- 

Kind regards