

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/06/2024 5:21 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	12 Old Road West Gravesend Kent DA11 0LJ
Proposal:	Application for a lawful development certificate for the change of use from change from C3(a) to C3(b) for the use of the dwelling as a dwelling house providing care.
Case Officer:	Ms Rebecca Harrison

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Old Road West Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	My main objection to this application concerns traffic and parking. 12 Old Road West (ORW) is in a parking control zone with strict time constraints. The traffic flow on ORW is always heavy as it is a designated A road, being used as a through route from the Loon Garage into Northfleet. Therefore, parking and traffic flow are an ongoing problem for residents. This is exacerbated by the pub at the junction with Windmill Street and the doctors' practice at No. 30 ORW, with its needs for on-street patient parking. Staff at the proposed development of No 12 ORW would need parking too adding to further congestion. There is also a safety issue, particularly for vulnerable children, who deserve a quieter and safer environment. Another objection is that. Potentially, if this planning development is permitted, the adjoining property at No 10 ORW (already showing a sold sign by the same agent) could be converted too. Is this current planning application part of a larger plan? A recent comment made in support of this application advised facilities of this nature are required to meet local need. Whilst there may be an argument of that nature. Section S.3 of the application advises the property will be used to house children from Epping Forest and not local residents. Approval of this application would have no impact on placements available for local residents. Finally, I note the photo shown is not of No 12 ORW but of No 14, an entirely separate family dwelling. This seems a careless and poor basic error for a planning team to make.
-----------	--

Kind regards