

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/03/2025 5:15 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of Pear Tree Lane Pear Tree Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Change of use of the land for the stationing of 2 static homes, 2 touring caravans and shared day room for Gypsy/Traveller occupation. Associated hard and soft landscaping.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Pear Tree Lane Shorne

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:

We object to the application on grounds including but not limited to the following, that if granted it would:

1 exacerbate an already concerning road safety issue. Pear Tree Lane is a rat run between the A2 and Rochester Road, Higham and Cliffe. When there are problems on the A2 it becomes completely blocked. Chestnut Corner already has 4 traffic accesses within 100 yards or so. A four dwelling addition, with presumably frequent use of trailers etc., is highly undesirable.

2 create an unwanted precedent allowing all properties on the rural site of Pear Tree Lane to convert to do the same thing hugely increasing the population density and changing the nature of the area;

3 be an inappropriate development within the Green Belt, there is no exceptional circumstance in this application;

4 greatly diminish the business of the pub next door, which provides a service to the community, employment; and has historic precedent. Too many pubs are going out of business;

5 a previous application, to which we did not object, to build a house on the site was refused. We would not object to one dwelling in keeping with others in the Lane.

6 There is no provision on the proposed site for water, electricity, and most importantly, disposal of human waste.

7 The applicants have moved at least one home into the property although they have no planning or other permission to do so.

Such deliberate flouting of planning laws would, if the application were successful, continue.

The 4 homes currently applied for would rapidly increase with all the associated problems.

The current occupancy should be brought to an end and the applicants should be required to remove the homes.

This application should be firmly rejected.

Can you please include us in further correspondence and notices in respect of the Application and any appeals etc.

The application should be declined.

Kind regards