

Dear Ms Amanda Cue

20251290

I have had considerable difficulty in looking at the plans and submitting my response. The Gravesham Planning Portal does not have sufficient security status for the Safari web browser and I had to install other software to access the portal. Also, the site notice was tied to the back of the telegraph pole and I was only aware of the planning application when one of my neighbours who had actually been contacted notified me. Hence the delay in my response.

I also was not able to supply a response online because the online form kept kicking me out. I hope this email reaches you in time - it's been a challenge.

I object to the above planning application on several grounds.

1. Loss of light to my property. The bungalow and proposed trees will have significant impact on the light to the east side of my house and garden.
2. No windows are shown on the west elevation of the proposed building but if they are added later, they will look straight into my bedrooms, a grave breach of privacy.
3. The parking is already very difficult on this stretch of road and the road is dangerous. More parked vehicles increase the risk of people parking in front of my house. This blocks the visibility splay from my house and makes it very dangerous to get in and out of my drive. When cars have occasionally parked there, I have nearly been hit on the side 3 times, despite extreme caution as I pull out. It is a very busy road. The substantial increase in traffic over the last 2 years, the speed of cars on this stretch and the blind bends make it very dangerous for all road users, particularly pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians of whom there are many. Another property on this stretch would remove the ability to park on that area of the road, increasing the number of resident and visitor vehicles in the area, all making the existing situation even worse.
4. While the existing properties don't have a cohesive style, the dark colours specified for bricks, facia and window frames would look incongruous with the surrounding properties.
5. Planning permission has been refused for this site in the past and those reasons may still be valid.

