

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/12/2024 2:43 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Walnut Hill Nursery Walnut Hill Road Gravesend Kent DA13 9HL
Proposal:	Retrospective erection of a detached outbuilding.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Pescot Avenue Longfield

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I reject on the following basis - Application 20000600 dated 2000 for the erection of a Barn for agricultural use namely propagation, welfare and office facilities and was approved on the grounds that all existing portacabins and sheds would be removed. The omission of these existing structures was used to justify the size of the new Barn. The existing outbuilding removed in early 2024 is understood to have been a structure with no permanent foundations to which no formal planning can be identified. The net area of what can only be described as a temporary building and so called canopy previously used to provide weather protection to nursery plants is the justification for this new permanent structure / outbuilding. In line with original planning application 20000600 this can only be described as significant overdevelopment of the site. The use is not agricultural as stated, it is being used for storage of commercial machinery in conjunction with current HGV operating centre. Extensive areas of the site have also had Type 1 and tarmac applied. This is not mentioned and is not permeable. Tarmac areas are being used to park HGV's in connection with a commercial Operating Centre to which no change of use application has been submitted. Also noted in the application are numerous outbuildings numbered on the existing block plan. Many are actually unauthorised portacabins brought onto site early in 2024 by the applicant and have been wood clad in many instances to make them appear permanent and historic buildings, again demonstrating examples of over development with no intention to follow planning requirements. There is unsociable hours of site use in what is a residential area within the green belt together with noise and light pollution. All of which are impacting the
-----------	---

enjoyment of residential properties, wildlife and welfare of animals kept in close proximity.

The application is retrospective and shows no regard for following lawful planning rules and regulations.

Kind regards