

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 19/05/2025 8:42 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	42 Cricketers Drive Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AX
Proposal:	Erection of single storey side/rear extension with rooflights.
Case Officer:	Mrs Sharon Sahota

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Cricketers Drive Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Dear Planning Officer,

I am writing as a resident and [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] Cricketers Drive to comment on the planning application submitted for 42 Cricketers Drive.

While I fully respect the applicants' right to improve their property and wish them well in their new home, I have some significant concerns regarding the impact of the proposed extension on my property and its surroundings.

1. Impact on Outlook and Visual Amenity

The proposed rear extension projects a considerable distance into the garden, which will result in a significant and overbearing presence when viewed from [REDACTED] property. This will negatively affect the open, rural outlook that currently exists at the rear of our properties - something that was a key factor in my decision to recently purchase my home. The extension will dominate the view from [REDACTED] garden and key rooms at the back [REDACTED] house, which I believe is out of keeping with the character of the surrounding properties.

2. Loss of Privacy

Given the layout and proximity of the extension to the boundary, I am concerned that the development could result in an increased sense of being overlooked, particularly if any new windows or doors directly face [REDACTED] property or [REDACTED] boundary.

3. Impact on Local Character

We live in a semi-rural village location, where spacious rear gardens and unobstructed countryside views are part of the local charm. I feel the scale of this wrap-around extension - especially the depth of the rear element - is excessive and risks undermining that character. A more modest rear projection would be far more in keeping with the surroundings.

I fully appreciate the desire for home improvements, but I respectfully request that the planning authority considers whether the scale and depth of the proposed extension are appropriate, and whether a notable reduction in the rear

projection might achieve a better balance between development and neighbour amenity.



Kind regards