

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/11/2025 4:09 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:

- 1/ 350 homes , 350 to 700 cars , 1000+ adults and children will swamp our roads and services . ie GP surgeries, schools etc
The A227 is chaotic at certain times of the day re school runs, parking etc. When there is trouble on the A2 or M20 etc , it becomes a rat run and causes even worse traffic issues. So often, we see many potential accidents and near misses around that junction with Longfield Rd and Camer Road. More access onto the A227 will make it more of an issue. How often do you get overtaken on a single carriageway because you are keeping to the 30MPH speed limit, from Istead Rise to Wrotham Hill. Current traffic causes drivers from outside our area, to drive recklessly. It would get worse.
- 2/ This is good agricultural land that we, as a country < cannot afford to give up. This plus Green Belt is so important to villages to keep from joining up and keep separate identities.
- 3/ Who actually says that we need this development here....its the developers who want to build in the most attractive place, to make the most money . This is not exceptional circumstances.
there are other places but the developers dont want to build there ! Where is the actual evidence and justification ?
- 4/ this area helps with flood prevention by being a natural drain .
It supports all types of general wildlife and the local residents will be poorer for it, if the application succeeds.
We need to know why it should happen ...there is no real reason for it .
- 5/ There is a cumulative effect at play , with five or six proposed developements. Immagine the chaos if all get passed with 2000 houses, and people and cars.
This, in my opinion , is the worst of them for all the reasons above . Meopham being a safe community will be destroyed by traffic. Highway safety should be paramount and it is getting worse, even without this developement. which has to be the most destructive of them all, published to date.

6/ The safety of children must be paramount , and I can only see this development adding to the chaos , especially at school times,. There are three schools, if not four, very close by. Think of the potential harm that could come , if this application gets accepted.

7/ You are sending a dangerous signal to other developers, if this were to be passed. It sets a precedent , and what would prevent another similar application . I am sure that developers are watching and waiting .

8/ Houses do have to be built, but generally they are not affordable to people that need them and they will need a car. Greybelt should always be utilised before you destroy an area, rich in so many ways.

There is no justification for allowing this particular development.

Kind regards