

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/03/2026 11:18 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Cheyne Walk Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I wish to object to the proposed development of 725 dwellings on land off Camer Road, Meopham, on the grounds of highway safety and traffic impact. The local road network is already under significant pressure. Camer Road is a relatively narrow route with limited capacity, restricted visibility in places, and is not designed to accommodate a substantial increase in traffic volumes. There are existing congestion issues on Wrotham Road (A227), particularly at peak commuting times and during school drop-off and pick-up periods. Traffic flow is frequently slow-moving, and queues regularly form along key sections of the route. Junctions connecting Camer Road to the wider network, as well as access points onto Wrotham Road (A227), are already difficult to navigate safely at busy times. The additional vehicle movements generated by a development of 725 dwellings would place severe pressure on these junctions, increasing the likelihood of delays, driver frustration, and accidents. The cumulative impact of this development would be severe. The increase in traffic would not only affect main roads but also surrounding residential streets, including Cheyne Walk, as drivers seek alternative routes to avoid congestion.
-----------	--

This would have a direct and negative impact on residential amenity and safety.

Pedestrian and cyclist safety is also a concern. Increased traffic volumes on roads that are not designed for heavy use would heighten risks, particularly for families and schoolchildren using local routes.

In planning terms, the proposal would result in severe residual cumulative impacts on the local highway network, which is a clear basis for refusal under national policy.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the application be refused.

Yours faithfully,

 (Cheyne Walk, Meopham)

Kind regards