

Dear Alison Webster

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] since December 2001 [REDACTED] the property in the above planning application ref number 20241161.

Upon review of the above referenced planning application (20241161) on the Gravesham Planning portal please may I raise the following objections:

1) According to the proposed plans next to the construction of the new bungalow a driveway is proposed (to access the rear of the properties in the planning application) along with a large gate. Part of the new driveway seems to be on [REDACTED] existing driveway and the proposed large gate also on [REDACTED] driveway/property. Per the plans one [REDACTED] existing gate pillars is being removed [REDACTED] existing small iron gate removed and the new large gate to the proposed driveway is being attached to [REDACTED] other pillar. I object to the use and removal of [REDACTED] private property by any 3rd party.

I attach 2 photos [REDACTED] driveway. You will see:

- a) the small iron gate and the pillars either side of it.
- b) The boundary wall owned by the planning application property on the left [REDACTED] driveway which has been in place prior [REDACTED] Dec 2001.

Also it is not clear from the application whether the boundary wall on the front drive will be put in place post any building work.

Under planning reference 20030253, approved by Gravesham Borough Council in 2003 I renovated [REDACTED] property. I attach the block plan from that application which clearly shows the boundary wall and [REDACTED] front driveway/garden, in line with the photos attached.

Please note I have not been consulted on planning ref 20241161 by the applicants and wish to object to a 3rd party trying to take ownership of any part of [REDACTED] property.

2) The new bungalow proposed is being referred to as 'The Bungalow' in the planning application, however my property address [REDACTED] and having 2 properties with the same name on the road will cause confusion and is not practical.

I have raised the above points with [REDACTED] C B Associates (the planner).

Further points to note objecting to the building of the new bungalow:

The ground level of the proposed new bungalow is c2m higher than the ground level of [REDACTED] property. This will allow a line of sight [REDACTED] property (Kitchen, living room, garden) which will invade [REDACTED] privacy.

There is a window on the side of the new bungalow proposed. There is a risk this will be overlooking [REDACTED] property given the bungalow will be built on higher ground. I have [REDACTED] kids bathroom and bedroom (velux windows) on that side as well as the kitchen and living room.

Also the patio area proposed will result in sight [REDACTED] property and garden. Or will the proposed fence be of sufficient height to prevent any line of sight?

The height of the new bungalow is much higher than the existing building and will create overshadowing onto [REDACTED] property.

The Design and Access statement on page 22 states in underline bold that “ the area “T1” in the plans is on “convent land”. This is factually incorrect and a misrepresentation as all properties on Hillside Drive own the land to the middle of the road outside their respective property. My deeds clearly show that.

