

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/06/2025 8:52 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Bowesden Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent DA12 3LA
Proposal:	Application for a Proposed Lawful Development Certificate for the siting of a caravan ancillary to the lawful agricultural use.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Pear tree lane Shorne

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	We knew this application was coming as the applicant for the pear tree lane traveller site announced on the day of illegally moving on site that they were looking at land in Bowesden lane and bingo here we go . Again the same as the PTL application - Not in keeping with the area Green belt Not local to the area The application changes the whole village feel effecting residents human rights . The threat of these developments has already had an impact on house costs , losing thousands of pounds. Residents are concerned we can't voice our opinions on a local FB site , residents were met with insults when they questioned a post about the site . When I applied for an annexe [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I was told it's not in keeping , should I also apply for a mobile home , is this what we want for the Shorne village . [REDACTED] Or is this discrimination against residents , there is a whole host of Shorne residents who have had applications declined on the basis of it not being in keeping , so I if this goes ahead not only do the council open themselves up to legal action from residents but also as stated on the Ptl application that allowing a travellers site would open up a can of worms for the area , and here you go it's now proved exactly those fears . Whilst everyone has a right to settle . There are other options such as buying a property even shared ownership , or renting , if travellers want to stop travelling then surely they are entitled too but at the same time why should they be treated any differently ?
-----------	--

Kind regards