

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/03/2026 9:43 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Camer Street Sole Street

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I strongly object this development for the follow reasons: 1. Not "Grey Belt" I am deeply concerned by the attempt to label this site as "Grey Belt." This land is high-performing countryside that provides a vital green gap between our villages. The Gravesham Green Belt Study (2018) specifically identifies this land (Parcel 20) as making a "Significant Contribution" to the Green Belt. Furthermore, under the NPPF, land within a National Landscape cannot be "Grey Belt." This is a beautiful, productive landscape that deserves the highest level of protection. 2. Breach of Statutory Duty to the National Landscape As a resident, I see daily how important this landscape is. Under the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023, the Council has a legal duty to actively "further" the protection of the Kent Downs. The proposed 3-story buildings would create a "hard urban edge" that is completely out of character with our rural setting. This proposal breaks the policies of the Kent Downs Management Plan, which are meant to keep our countryside open and peaceful. 3. Direct Harm to my [REDACTED] I live [REDACTED] on Camer Street, and I am heartbroken by the "substantial harm" this project would cause. National policy requires that "great weight" be given to the conservation of my home. This high-density development
-----------	--

would destroy the historic rural setting that is essential to the character and significance of my property. It would turn my [REDACTED] from a part of the countryside into a house on the edge of a housing estate.

4. Highways Safety and the Destruction of Rural Lanes

The local roads cannot handle 725 new homes. The plans to widen our lanes will destroy the "sunken lane" character that makes Meopham special. This is not just an aesthetic issue; it is a matter of highway safety. Our narrow lanes and soft verges are part of our heritage, and turning them into engineered urban roads violates the Rural Streets and Lanes Design Handbook.

5. Structural Risk from Vibrational Damage

I am extremely worried about the physical safety of my home. The development will require years of heavy construction traffic and the widening of roads using large industrial vehicles. The sustained vibration from these heavy loads poses a direct risk to the structural integrity of my historic foundations. This is a serious risk to my property that the developer has failed to address in their "professional judgment."

6. Incompatible Design and Loss of Nature

The density and height of these buildings are wrong for Meopham. Replacing 44 hectares of "Very Good" (Grade 2) agricultural land with 3-story houses ignores the local character. This scale of development will harm local wildlife and destroy the peaceful, dark-skies environment that makes this area a National Landscape.

Conclusion

This proposal is a massive encroachment into the Green Belt that ignores the law and the evidence. It threatens the safety of my home and the future of our village. I urge the Council to protect our heritage and refuse this application.

Kind regards