

A2. STRUCTURE OF ES CHAPTER

Introduction

14.51 [introduction]

Competence

14.52 [competency statement]

Legislation and Policy Context

14.53 [relevant legislation, national, regional, local planning policy and guidance]

Assessment Methodology and Significance Criteria

Consultation

14.54 [Details of any consultations undertaken by consultants]

14.55 **Table X.X** presents a summary of the comments raised in the Council's EIA Scoping Opinion and other discussions with relevant consultees with respect to [insert technical topic].

Summary of Comments Raised in the Scoping Opinion

Consultee	Comment	Response
[text]	[text]	[text]

Study Area and Scope

Study Area

14.62 [Description of study area and scope of assessment]

Scope

14.63 The Scoping Report identified the following as aspects that would not be considered in the ES because potential effects on these receptors are not likely to be significant:

- [text].
-

Assessment Methodology

Impact Areas

- 14.64 [A description of how likely significant effects were modelled/forecast]

Significance Criteria

- 14.65 The scale attributed to each effect has been determined based on the sensitivity of the receptor and magnitude of impact arising as a result of the Proposed Development. Professional judgement and experience have been drawn upon to assess the scale and significance.

Receptors and Receptor Sensitivity

- 14.66 The sensitivity of each receptor was evaluated as being high, medium, low or negligible based on a review of the baseline position of each receptor and its performance against benchmark areas. The receptors and the definition of sensitivity of a receptor (high, medium, low) is based on a scale set out in **Table X.X**.

Receptor Sensitivity Descriptors

Value (Sensitivity)	Descriptor
High	
Medium	
Low	
No Impact	

Magnitude of Impact

- 14.73 The magnitude of impact to a receptor has been determined by considering the estimated deviation from baseline conditions both before, and, if required, after mitigation. The scale used for determining the magnitude of an impact has been based on the table below.

Magnitude of Impact Descriptors

Impact Magnitude	Descriptor
High	
Medium	
Low	
No Impact	

Assessing Significance

- 14.80 The table below provide a matrix for determining the significance of an effect based on the sensitivity of the receptor and the magnitude of impact.
-

Significance of Effect Matrix

Receptor Sensitivity	Magnitude of Impact			
	High	Medium	Low	Very Low
High	Major Beneficial / Adverse	Major Beneficial / Adverse	Moderate Beneficial / Adverse	Minor Beneficial / Adverse
Medium	Major Beneficial / Adverse	Moderate Beneficial / Adverse	Minor Beneficial / Adverse	Negligible
Low	Moderate Beneficial / Adverse	Minor Beneficial / Adverse	Negligible	Negligible
Very Low	Minor Beneficial / Adverse	Negligible	Negligible	Negligible

Limitations and Assumptions

14.81

Baseline Conditions

Establishing Baseline Conditions

14.82 How information/data was collated to establish current baseline condition.

Baseline Conditions

14.83 This section will include a description of the environment as it currently stands. The baseline position will be taken as the current conditions on the application Site, taking account of the planning designations and assuming that all existing land uses in the surrounding area beyond the Site remain.

Receptors

Existing and Future Sensitive Receptors

Receptor	Sensitivity
Existing Receptors	
e.g. existing residents	e.g. high
Future Receptors	

Future Baseline

Assessment of Effects (Construction and Operational)

Effects During Construction

14.84 [text]

Effects Once the Proposed Development is Operational

14.85 [text]

Mitigation Measures

Mitigation During Construction

14.86 [text]

Mitigation Once the Proposed Development is Operational

14.87 [text]

Residual Effects and Monitoring

15.57 Summary of residual effects arising from the Proposed Development.
