

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/03/2026 5:20 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Blenheim Close Meopham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Planning Objection

I wish to formally object to the proposed development on the following grounds:

The scale of the development is excessive and out of keeping with the established character of Meopham. The cumulative impact would fundamentally alter the nature of the area, transforming a linear village into an overextended urban environment.

The proposal is likely to generate approximately 1,450 additional vehicles, placing significant strain on already pressured local roads. This increase in traffic raises serious concerns regarding congestion, road safety, and air quality.

Of particular concern is the potential impact on emergency access. The proposed traffic management arrangements risk impeding emergency vehicles attempting to reach properties along Norwood Lane, which presents an unacceptable safety risk.

The development will also introduce increased levels of both air and light pollution, adversely affecting the health and quality of life of existing residents in what is currently a rural setting.

The loss of existing farmland is another significant concern. This land currently plays an important role in natural water absorption, helping to mitigate flood risk, while also acting as a carbon sink. Its removal would exacerbate environmental pressures locally.

While the inclusion of a new school is noted, there is no clear strategy for accommodating pupils beyond primary level. Meopham Secondary School has already been expanded and is operating at capacity, with no evident provision for the additional demand this development would create.

Finally, accessibility to local transport infrastructure remains inadequate. Both Meopham and Sole Street stations are accessed via footbridges, which present barriers for those with limited mobility and for families with young children.

For these reasons, I strongly urge the Council to reject this application.

Kind regards