

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 26/08/2025 4:31 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of White Post Lane White Post Lane Cobham Gravesend Kent DA13 9AX
Proposal:	Change of use of the land for the stationing of 8 mobile homes for gypsy and traveller use, erection of associated day rooms, soft landscaping and laying of hardstanding (part retrospective).
Case Officer:	Mr Ethan Bonthron

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Sole Street Cobham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object most strongly to this retrospective planning application. The field has already disappeared under asphalt, fences have been erected and the site occupied all without regard for the proper use of the Planning Laws by which we are all bound. As previously the exploitation of "fait accompli" should not be the way of forcing through a retrospective planning application. This site, along with adjacent plots to the west, has been the subject of multiple planning applications, usually retrospective and turned down, and enforcement notices over the years, including a High Court Injunction which prohibited the use of the total site for residential purposes. Apparently partially revoked at the last planning enquiry. As a local resident, I have had to endured decades of repeated planning applications, appeals, enforcement failures, followed by a total disregard for the injunctions imposed on the applicants. This latest retrospective application represents yet another attempt to exploit the planning process, and I urge the Council to take decisive action to prevent further erosion of our precious countryside within the Green Belt. It is obvious that the occupation of the land is by those who have no respect for the law or the correct use of the Planning system. This retrospective planning application represents yet another attempt to circumvent planning laws and exploit the planning/enforcement process. I most strongly oppose this application.
-----------	---

Kind regards