

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/12/2025 2:46 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Sole Street Cobham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I strongly object to this proposal for the following reasons: 1]. This is prime farmland necessary for food production. 2]. currently there are several proposals for development in this area. If granted it will progressively link Meopham to the village of Sole Street. 3]. The A227 is a very busy road particularly in the area of this proposal. It is regularly jammed and obstructed especially at school times including by large numbers of vehicles parked on both sides. Any junction onto the A227 in this area will greatly increase the problems and hazards. 4]. The site is opposite The Parade which is already over utilised, with inadequate parking, traffic trying to turn in jam the A227, turning out is difficult vision is obscured. 5]. The site is adjacent to Green Lane, a "rat run" from traffic from the A2 to the A227, this road is overused especially when the A2 is obstructed, as it is several times each week. 6]. Local facilities including hospitals and doctors are already inadequate, another 1050 people will make this even worse.

7]. The question of utility services must be considered.
The south east already has inadequate water resources.

8]. Surface water drainage on the A227 is already grossly surcharged resulting in surface flood water running down towards the station end of the village. The surcharge is made evident by heavy manhole covers being visibly lifted and displaced by water from the sewer below. The "run-off" from the roofs and paved areas on this site will add to this problem irrespective of whatever SUDs provision is included.

9]. 350 houses with an average of 3 residents requiring a typical 200 litres of water per person per day will mean a daily total of circa 210m³ and a similar foul sewage discharge which will result in a peak discharge to the main public sewer of between 19.44 and 29.17 litres per second. It is most unlikely the existing sewer will have adequate capacity.

Kind regards