

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/12/2025 3:02 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Wrotham Road Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I wish to register my formal objection to the planning application currently under consideration, for the reasons set out below.

Unsustainable Transport and Wider Network Impact

The additional traffic arising from a development of this scale would place further strain on an already overburdened local road network. Key surrounding routes, including the A227 and Green Lane, regularly experience congestion and safety issues. The cumulative impact of increased vehicle movements would exacerbate delays, elevate noise and air pollution levels, and heighten the risk of collisions across the wider area.

Inappropriate Use of High-Quality Agricultural Land

The application site comprises productive agricultural land that plays an active role in local food production. With national food resilience becoming an increasingly important issue, the irreversible loss of such land for housing development represents a poor long-term planning decision and runs counter to sustainable land-use principles.

Unsafe and Inadequate Access Arrangements

The scale of the proposal would inevitably generate a significant volume of additional traffic-conservatively in excess of 300 private vehicles, alongside routine delivery and servicing movements. This traffic is proposed to exit onto a narrow rural lane that is wholly unsuited to accommodating such use. The limited

road width, poor visibility, and lack of any pedestrian provision create an environment where safety risks would be unavoidable. Introducing estate-level traffic in these conditions would place existing road users, walkers and cyclists in daily danger.

Taken together, these issues demonstrate that the proposal poses an unacceptable risk to highway safety, imposes unsustainable pressure on local infrastructure, and would result in the permanent loss of valuable agricultural land. The adverse impacts are clear and would far outweigh any perceived benefits.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that planning permission is refused.

Kind regards