

11

Low

DA

23

De

Ref

I w

We

At t

We

We understood the land would be developed, as we were friends with original owners of the property [REDACTED]. These developers are just pushing for the overdevelopment for financial gains - pure greed. [REDACTED] to the large detached house and at the end of the cul-de-sac is now dwarfed. It is totally out of character and looks inappropriate.

I understand that some of my comments are personal and probably of no interest to you and many a person has said that you wouldn't be interested & probably put straight in the bin! Just feel that I have to make them. We do realise that things change, your targets have to be met, regulations change (as we know only too well when many years ago we were restricted in just extending our bungalow and had to 'jump through hoops to GBC Building Officers' !! [REDACTED] and so therefore understands only too well what the position is [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Having checked the new planning proposal!!! I don't understand how an already overdeveloped plan can get the approval of 2 more dwellings. The building contractor has already taken the council planning to a tribunal and lost leaving one bungalow at the rear, now they want 2 I ask myself how & why what has changed? Now they are trying for 4 semi-detached bungalows – when will this end! The site has already got two very large detached dwellings dwarfing the bungalows either side looking totally out of character. I don't understand how an already overdeveloped plan can get the approval of 2 more dwellings. (The serious car parking position has been clearly stated in the accompanying letter),

It appears that the building contractor has more power than the Planning Officer. It's just greed and local infrastructure can't sustain this.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] This has already occurred where the upkeep of the garden has not been maintained for years and was completely overgrown. When clearing brambles that had overgrown on the fence [REDACTED]

Please take our comments into consideration it was never our intention to object to any development but not one of this magnitude. We just want to live harmoniously in our retirement in a safe environment in a bungalow in the countryside surrounded by nature not superstructures!

I strongly request that this goes to the Planning Committee and that the comments are considered,

[REDACTED]