

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 09/12/2025 9:46 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: In relation to these latest planning applications, I have real concerns regarding the future of the small community and village of Sole Street. Not only will the area feel the impact of the Lower Thames Crossing, but there will be further traffic and development in relation to the new winery and bottling premises planned for Gold Street. Additionally, the traveller site is ever expanding and has caused damage to the rural environment.

I quote the Gravesham plan... 'In developing our draft Local Plan, we have adopted a brown field first approach..' So what is happening with the sites in Gravesend? The old hospital? The land besides Wickes? The supposed retirement developments? (2 sites that have been left for some time). There are areas within the 'historic district' of the town that look like something out of Dickens, very rundown and not pleasant for the residents living there. These need to be made more habitable along with the many properties above the shops.

In relation to the plan and using designated grey belt you say... 'Grey belt is defined as land in the Green Belt comprising previously developed land that does not strongly contribute checking the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas, preventing neighbouring towns merging into one another, or preserving the setting and special character of historic towns'. With this development you would be merging Sole Street, Meopham and Cobham. Sole Street and Cobham being two of the few Rural and Historic Villages left in the area.

Of course there needs to be the provision of affordable homes/social housing. However, not of the size and nature of this proposed development.

Having previously lived in Dartford the over development of the town is heart-breaking, leading to the loss and nature of the original market town.

In relation to the school, that is proposed within the development, I am led to believe that this will not be built if the County Council refuse to fund it, putting further pressure on the local schools and other infrastructure like GPs.

I ask that this development is not permitted.
Thank you

Kind regards