

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 09/12/2025 11:19 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To Longfield Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0EW
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 120 residential dwellings, public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Longfield Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Subject: Formal Objection to Planning Application 20250993 - Proposed Development of 120 Houses
Dear Sir/Madam,
I am writing to register my formal objection to planning application 20250993 for the construction of 120 new houses. While I understand the need for appropriate and sustainable development, this proposal raises several significant concerns regarding safety, infrastructure capacity, and the well-being of the local community.

1. Proximity to the SEN School The proposed development is extremely close to a Special Educational Needs (SEN) school, whose pupils often require a calm, predictable and safe environment. The increase in traffic, noise, and general activity associated with a large housing estate poses a particular risk to these children. Additional vehicle movements at peak times will create hazards for students, staff, and families who rely on safe access to the school. The wellbeing and safety of vulnerable children should be a central consideration, and this development threatens to compromise it.
2. Narrowness and Congestion of Longfield Road Longfield Road already suffers from narrow carriageway width and regular congestion due to on-street parking. At present, it struggles to safely accommodate two-way traffic. Adding the significant increase in vehicles generated by 120 new homes will exacerbate the existing issues, heightening the risk of accidents, reducing emergency vehicle access, and creating daily inconvenience for current residents. The road infrastructure is simply not suitable for the increased demand this development

would impose.

3. Strain on Local GP Surgery and NHS Services Local health services are already under substantial pressure. GP appointment waiting times continue to rise, and the area's NHS resources are stretched. Introducing a large number of new residents without any corresponding increase in healthcare provision will further compromise access to essential services. This is not sustainable and will negatively impact both existing residents and the new households the development seeks to attract.

4. Increased Pressure on Local Schools The addition of 120 households will inevitably result in more school-age children requiring places. Local primary and secondary schools already face capacity challenges, and an influx of new students will either exacerbate oversubscription or require costly and disruptive expansions. Without clear evidence that local education infrastructure can accommodate this increase, the development should not proceed.

Conclusion For these reasons-safety concerns related to the nearby SEN school, inadequate local road infrastructure, excessive pressure on GP and NHS services, and the inability of local schools to absorb a significant rise in student numbers-I strongly object to planning application 20250993. I urge the planning committee to reject the proposal or require substantial revisions to address these fundamental issues.

Thank you for considering my comments.

Yours faithfully,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.

Kind regards