

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 19/12/2025 6:50 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: Nuralite Industrial Estate Canal Road Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7JA

Proposal: Application for removal of condition 4 ,6,12 and variation of condition 7 attached to 20190290 - The proposal is for the systematic redevelopment of the existing Nuralite site. This includes the importation of inert materials to cap, and landscape the historic asbestos landfill, thus containing its contamination risk. To demolish the existing buildings of the Nuralite industrial estate, deal with existing contamination, both through capping and removal of areas of hydrocarbon pollution, the laying of new service and utility infrastructure and the construction of 11 new purpose built light industrial type units and a site office; to allow removal of passing places, temporary traffic controls, Archaeological works and implementation of a permanent TRO for all traffic.

Case Officer: Mr Richard Hart

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED] Higham

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I am writing to object to planning application 20250721 regarding the removal and variation of several conditions attached to the previously approved redevelopment of the Nuralite site.

The conditions in question were imposed to ensure that redevelopment could proceed safely, particularly given the site's history as an asbestos landfill and the presence of hydrocarbon contamination. The applicant now seeks to remove key safeguards, yet no compelling justification has been provided to demonstrate that the risks have diminished. On the contrary, the scale of the proposed works, including demolition, excavation, and the importation of large quantities of material, makes it even more important that strict environmental controls remain in place.

I am also concerned about the proposal to remove requirements relating to traffic management and passing places. Canal Road and the other surrounding rounds are already unsuitable for sustained industrial traffic, and the original conditions

were essential to mitigating the impact on local residents and ensuring emergency access. Removing these measures would place additional strain on an already inadequate road network and compromise safety for the local community.

Furthermore, the cumulative impact of increased industrial activity, noise, and vehicle movements has not been adequately addressed. The surrounding area is semi-rural, and the proposed relaxation of conditions would materially alter the character of the locality to the detriment of residents.

For these reasons, I strongly object to the removal and variation of the conditions and urge the Council to retain the original safeguards.

Kind regards