

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 19/12/2025 10:37 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: Nuralite Industrial Estate Canal Road Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7JA

Proposal: Application for removal of condition 4 ,6,12 and variation of condition 7 attached to 20190290 - The proposal is for the systematic redevelopment of the existing Nuralite site. This includes the importation of inert materials to cap, and landscape the historic asbestos landfill, thus containing its contamination risk. To demolish the existing buildings of the Nuralite industrial estate, deal with existing contamination, both through capping and removal of areas of hydrocarbon pollution, the laying of new service and utility infrastructure and the construction of 11 new purpose built light industrial type units and a site office; to allow removal of passing places, temporary traffic controls, Archaeological works and implementation of a permanent TRO for all traffic.

Case Officer: Mr Richard Hart

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I strongly object to this proposal for the following reasons.
The one way route is a massive inconvenience to all local residents and users of this route, not only adding more time to their journeys but increasing the traffic on the alternative routes which are already congested.
This is a rural area with small established communities and it feels this one project is taking unnecessary priority over all those already in the area that will be hugely disadvantaged by this proposal.
The transportation of asbestos through the countryside roads, in close proximity to domestic homes and local businesses, rather than the existing freight rail network, increases the risk of possible contamination to the area.
The alternative route is not a simple local road, it is a large diversion which is not acceptable.
The suggested roads are not fit for the use of lorries as they are narrow and not built to withstand such heavy traffic.
The narrow lanes are used by local walkers, horse riders, cyclists as well as vehicles. Having lorries use this route makes it more dangerous for all those

users.

I would ask for anybody involved in making a decision on this proposal to visit and drive around the local area as they would see that it is ridiculous and a detriment to the area.

Please consider the needs of many over the unnecessary needs of one.

Kind regards