

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/12/2025 3:53 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Objection to Planning Application - Unsustainable Development Proposal

I am writing as a local resident to formally object to the proposed development on the grounds that it is fundamentally unsustainable and poses serious concerns in terms of infrastructure, safety, environmental impact, and accessibility. My objections are outlined below:

1. Unsuitable Location with Inadequate Access to Public Transport, which has exacerbated in the last 4 years  
Public transport availability has reduced significantly within the last four years - following the recent withdrawal of the Arriva bus company, and its replacement with the Redroute bus operator, there are now no buses running after 6pm in the Gravesend to Meopham/Culverstone/Vigo direction, and after 6.30pm in the Vigo/Culverstone/Meopham to Gravesend direction, on the 306/308 A227 bus route. In addition, the Kings Ferry London commuter coach service, which travelled through Vigo and Meopham, and on to London Docklands and Central London in the mornings, and returned in the evenings, and had operated for 40 years, sadly stopped running in December 2021 and the service has never restarted.
2. Impact on Existing Congestion and Emergency Access  
The A227 already experiences heavy congestion, particularly at the Longfield Road junction - an area frequently used by schoolchildren crossing to nearby

schools. The scale of the proposed development would lead to a significant increase in local traffic volumes, compounding congestion and creating the potential for gridlock. This poses a critical risk in the event of emergency vehicles, such as ambulances, needing timely access to Darent Valley Hospital via this route. The construction of the Lower Thames Crossing has also just been approved and this will increase traffic using the A227.

### 3. Concerns raised by KCC Highways

I understand that KCC Highways have raised significant concerns over this planning application.

### 4. Construction Traffic and Long-Term Infrastructure Damage

The presence of heavy construction traffic along the A227 would not only worsen existing traffic conditions but also risk further structural damage to nearby buildings.

### 5. Flooding of roads and adjacent fields within Meopham will increase

A number of sections of the A227 road travelling through Meopham are subject to flooding during periods of consistent rainfall, as seen most recently during December 2025. The proposed development will significantly exacerbate this issue.

### 6. Lack of capacity at Meopham Medical Centre

Dr J Mounty, Senior Partner at Meopham Medical Centre, published an open letter dated 21st October 2025 confirming that the Centre is currently at the limit of its capacity, would have no way of offering medical services to this and other development proposals within Meopham, and had received no contact from the Council or developers to ascertain the Centre's capacity in relation to any of the proposed developments.

### 7. Environmental and Ecological Damage

This currently undeveloped area is used as a wildlife corridor by many animals, including hedgehogs. Any construction in this area would result in the destruction of vital habitats and directly contravenes national and local obligations to conserve biodiversity. This ecological loss would be irreversible

### 8. Availability of Brownfield Sites for development

Gravesham has significant brownfield land and regeneration opportunities (in particular much of the Gravesham borough area north of the A2, including around Ebbesfleet) that should be prioritized over Green Belt release. National policy encourages brownfield development first, and this approach aligns with sustainable planning principles.

### 9. Loss of Agricultural Land

The proposed site is productive farmland, the destruction of which will reduce local food security and undermine sustainable land use.

### 10. Loss of Rural Character and Landscape

Meopham is a historic rural parish, and development would erode its identity, effectively merging villages such as Sole Street and Meopham. This directly conflicts with the Local Plan's objective to maintain settlement separation and protect countryside views.

### 11. Request for Accurate Traffic Assessment Timing

I would urge that any traffic impact assessments associated with this application be carried out during school term times, not during school holidays. Only then can an accurate and meaningful picture of local traffic patterns and road usage be obtained.

In summary, the proposed development is poorly located, environmentally harmful, and would exacerbate already serious traffic and safety issues in the

area. I respectfully request that this application be refused.

---

Kind regards