

## Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/12/2025 8:12 AM from [REDACTED]

### Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To Longfield Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0EW
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 120 residential dwellings, public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Longfield Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

### Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>Longfield Road is a narrow road, that houses one primary school, a SEN school and a nursery. The proposed site is next to these. Throughout school hours, there are a considerable amount of cars parked along the road (all legally parked), but this reduces access to a single lane. Due to the nature of the road, this means that vision is blocked and therefore unable to see oncoming cars, which makes it difficult to navigate past. To introduce an entrance onto this road, for 120 dwellings is insane. Longfield Road just isn't wide enough to accommodate an increase in traffic. Parking near schools (and this is everywhere not just here) is crazy at drop off and pickup times. In general, the A227 has become busier and busier. If you consider the increased volume of traffic from the Lower Thames crossing, all proposed building plans from Instead Rise, all the way to Wrotham, is just going to make the road even busier. The proposed developments in Meopham are all within the busiest areas of the village. To drive into Meopham from Longfield Road, means either turning right or left at the top of the road onto the A227. Impossible at peak hours, it is so hard to turn right. How is it acceptable to consider an additional 160+ cars, plus guest cars plus deliveries etc, onto an already congested area? I would really like to see the proposed plans for increased traffic. I'm assuming this will be published? I believe KCC have raised similar concerns in their formal response.</p> <p>Increased traffic is a major issue. Along with increased traffic consideration has to be made for increased pollution. How is that justifiable next to three buildings that house children?</p>
-----------	---

The SEN children are often outside, getting fresh air and playing. It is lovely to see. But it is clear that many have sensory issues. How are these children going to cope with the proposed building? It is currently a prime location for a SEN school. The pollution, noise (which will be considerable during building), general upheaval, amount of lorries that will need to gain access is, quite frankly, not feasible.

Other, very important, considerations, also include the fact that this is prime framed land, and has been for years and years. Framing is an important trade for the whole of the UK, farmed foods do not grow on shelves. They are produced in fields like this.

What about nature and wildlife? How will they be protected?

Lastly, lets not forget that Meopham has single-access dependency, has linear settlement constraints, and the critical lack of alternative routes. More houses = more cars = even more congestion in Meopham.

The large planning applications within Meopham, should be viewed and considered collectively and not on an individual basis. The impact of the Lower Thames Crossing should also be taken into consideration.

GBC should be looking at utilising the £1.6m funds to develop brownfield before concreting over green belt.

I strongly object to this application.

---

Kind regards