

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/12/2025 9:38 AM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of new housing on the designated Green Belt land in this area.

This site is currently a vital part of our natural countryside, offering open green space, wildlife habitat, and an important buffer between communities. The loss of this land would permanently alter the character of the area and undermine the purpose of the Green Belt, which is to prevent urban sprawl and protect rural environments.

In addition to the environmental harm, the proposed development raises several serious concerns:

### 1. Increased Pollution

The construction and long-term occupation of these houses would significantly increase air, noise, and light pollution. Increased traffic would worsen local air quality and contribute to congestion on already busy roads.

### 2. Insufficient Water Supply

The current local water infrastructure is already under strain. Adding a large number of new homes will place further pressure on an inadequate supply system, raising concerns about long-term sustainability and reliability.

### 3. Pressure on Healthcare Services

Local healthcare providers are currently facing heavy demand, with long waiting times and limited capacity. The introduction of additional residents would exacerbate these issues, further reducing the quality and accessibility of

healthcare for existing communities.

#### 4. Strain on Schools

Local schools are already close to or at full capacity. This development would increase pressure on educational facilities, leading to overcrowding and reducing the quality of education provided to children in the area.

#### 5. Traffic and Infrastructure Impact

The surrounding road network is already congested during peak times. Additional vehicles from hundreds of new households would cause significant traffic build-up, safety risks, and increased wear on local roads, which are not designed for such volume.

For these reasons, I strongly oppose the proposed development and urge the planning authority to reject the application in order to protect our countryside, local services, and community wellbeing.

---

Kind regards