Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 23/03/2026 8:24 AM fror [redacted]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

Click for further information

Customer Details

Name:	[redacted]
Email:	
Address:	[redacted] Foxendown Lane Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I object to this application on the grounds of Green Belt desecration, connecting two villages which is against local plan, highway safety, drainage and flood risk, loss of wildlife habitat, air quality impacts, over-capacity local services, and conflict with the Gravesham Local Plan. The scale of 725 dwellings is wholly inappropriate for a village of this size and would cause significant, long-term harm. It does not supply housing for those locally in need, i.e. local young generation like myself who could not afford these houses. 1. Drainage, Flooding and Pollution The development site currently provides essential natural drainage. Its loss would greatly increase surface-water run-off into already compromised lanes. Sole Street, Foxendown Lane and Wrotham Road regularly flood. The application does not present a robust or sustainable solution to: foul drainage (risk of pollution from non-mains systems) surface water management cumulative drainage pressure from nearby applications 2. Highway Safety, Traffic and Parking The proposal fails to meet Policy CS19 which requires safe access and that development does not cause severe traffic congestion. The road network is already at breaking point. The A227 is already at capacity, the surrounding lanes

are narrow, frequently gridlocked, and unsafe for increased volumes of cars, delivery vans and service vehicles. A development of 725 homes could mean 1,450 extra cars and associated delivery vehicles. Foxendown Lane, is suitable for one car at a time and gridlocks now when Camer Road or Wrotham Road is busy, it simply cannot cope with more. Every access from Foxendown Lane is on a blind bend, at Camer Road and Wrotham Road, the increased traffic would be too dangerous.
Parking at local shops is already extremely difficult

3. Greenbelt and Wildlife and Biodiversity Loss
The site is greenbelt under policy CS02, this proposal is not exceptional circumstances and fails to protect the openness of Greenbelt. The proposal is a violation of landscape and character (Policy CS12 &CS19) and would have a detrimental effect on the rural environment. This development would also connect two villages, Meopham and Sole Street in violation of local plan.
The site and surrounding area support extensive wildlife, including: badgers, hedgehogs, owls and bats, amphibians and small mammals
The scale of this proposal would fundamentally reduce habitat connectivity. The ecological assessment does not adequately account for cumulative loss created by several simultaneous applications.
4. Pressure on Local Infrastructure
Local GP surgeries and Darent Valley Hospital are already at capacity.

5. Cumulative Overdevelopment
There are other developments the combined impact is severe and unacceptable.

Conclusion
For these reasons I respectfully request that this application be refused.

Kind regards