

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 23/03/2026 8:54 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Cheyne Walk Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of 725 homes on Green Belt land in Meopham. This objection is based on the following key concerns: 1. Loss of Green Belt Protection The proposed site lies within designated Green Belt, which exists to prevent urban sprawl, protect the countryside, and preserve the character of rural communities. Approving this development would set a damaging precedent and fundamentally undermine the purpose of Green Belt policy as outlined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). 2. Harm to Rural Character and Landscape Meopham is a village with a distinct rural identity. A development of this scale would significantly alter its character, resulting in urbanisation of the countryside and loss of openness that defines the area. We bought out houses to enjoy these glorious views and in going forward with this project you will not only ruin our village but also devalue our homes as loss of green space and rural community feel. 3. Infrastructure Capacity Local infrastructure is already under considerable strain. Roads, public transport, healthcare services, and schools in and around Meopham are not equipped to
-----------	---

support an additional 725 households. Increased traffic congestion and pressure on essential services would negatively impact existing residents' quality of life. A new school will put more pressure on this area and I don't feel like the children of Meopham needs another school. (I feel like you are building this for the wider area and just placing it within our village.)

4. Environmental Impact

The development would result in the loss of natural habitats, biodiversity, and agricultural land. Green Belt areas often support wildlife and contribute to environmental sustainability. This proposal risks irreversible ecological damage.

5. Lack of Demonstrated Need

It is not clear that exceptional circumstances exist to justify building on protected Green Belt land. Alternative brownfield or less sensitive sites should be prioritised in accordance with national and local planning policy.

6. Precedent for Future Development

Granting permission for this scheme could open the door to further encroachment on Green Belt land, leading to cumulative harm and gradual erosion of protected countryside.

In conclusion, this proposal conflicts with both local planning policies and national guidance designed to protect Green Belt land. The harm caused by this development would significantly and demonstrably outweigh any potential benefits.

I respectfully urge the Council to refuse planning permission for this application.

[Redacted Signature]

Kind regards