

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/12/2023 2:10 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

|               |                                                                                |
|---------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Address:      | 2A Christianfields Avenue Gravesend Kent DA12 5NF                              |
| Proposal:     | Change of Use from a 6 person HMO (Class C4) to a 11 person HMO (sui generis). |
| Case Officer: | Mr Ethan Bonthron                                                              |

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:

Email:

Address:

## Comments Details

|                 |                                              |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Commenter Type: | Neighbour                                    |
| Stance:         | Customer objects to the Planning Application |

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I strongly object to the proposed change of the 6-person dwelling to a 11-person dwelling referenced under 20231185. How can a property that was a 2-person dwelling be changed into an 11-person dwelling with the limited space there is that plot of land available.  
By adding a further extension to the existing building that there is in place will block out even more light [REDACTED] the building is a monstrosity as it is goodness knows what the proposed extension will look like as the current one certainly does not have the character of the surrounding buildings. [REDACTED]

The property is situated on a small road with a high volume of traffic with little parking availability which will mean that any additional cars will double park making it harder for residents to access their drives as there will be an overspill as the property only has space for one car meaning that cars will park in Whitehill Lane which is a dangerous road to cross as it is as the road is used as a race track day and night, pedestrians are vigilant as it is crossing the road with addition volume of traffic this will make the road and surrounding roads dangerous.

The noise from the property is unbearable as it is with the constant rowing between the residents and door banging especially in the summer when alcohol is consumed. which is most unpleasant especially for my nephew and niece to hear when they visit and play or should I say attempt to play [REDACTED] with the proposed change to the property this will increase the noise even more as the is no respect coming from the property to the neighbours.

Loud music is played day and night whether it be in the garden or in the property. There has been evidence of drug taking in the garden, which is factual not fiction. None of the residents get on within the existing property and adding more occupancy space there will be more disharmony.

Over the last couple of years there has been a decline in the area since the bungalow was turned into flats rather than a home there is no pride shown by the

occupants and now due to the proposed extension to accommodate 11 people the area will decline even further and before you know it the area will be locally as a rough area which is such a shame.

Not only will the new building extension stand out but the gardens both front and back are not kept to a good standard, the fences surround the property are coming down so they will inevitably get worse, as the property will be let out as it is now and there will be continued no accountability from the owner of the property. There is no upkeep from the owner of the property the actual accommodation is basically breeze blocked rooms. How on earth are 11-people supposed to share a property on the size land that there is.

An objection is supposed to not be emotive, but our neighbourhood is on the decline, and this is due to these breeze blocked rooms now in situ I'm astonished that permission for the extension for flats was allowed to proceed in the first place due to being nowhere near in keeping with the surrounding neighbourhood.

I know that the council is not interested in individual circumstances as this has become apparent since works proceeded on turning the dwelling into a 6-people occupancy but I've seen my mum's mental health decline since the residents have occupied the property the constant swearing and rows during the summer is enough to effect anyone's nerves but not being able to walk up the end of the her garden which she has done since 1978 to peg the washing out without being sworn at or harassed is appalling, but then again the owner of the property never took the time to make himself known to any of the neighbours. We have had some serious issues over the last couple of years, but we would not know how to get hold of him as we believe that he resides in London, how on earth can someone who lives in London know what affect there would be by turning a property 26 miles away have on the neighbourhood.

Forget house prices as these have depreciated because of these flats/breeze blocked rooms it's the people in the surrounding houses which are homes with lots of memories and times gone by with the hope that more memories could have been made but not now as people are too frightened to even step out in their gardens.

I would personally ask for you to reject the application 20231185 as this decision would have a detrimental affect on the people who live within the vicinity of 2A Christian Fields Avenue.

Also, I would like to express that I feel that the time-frame to submit this objection at this time of year was inadequate, a turnover from Tuesday 5th December 2023 to Tuesday 26th December 2023 is not acceptable.

---

Kind regards