

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/12/2025 7:01 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Greenlands Sole Street

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	To construct 150 houses west of Norwood Lane in Meopham makes little sense. First, the influx of people and vehicles that will follow will cause havoc in the narrow Green Lane, Camer Park Road and on the A227 itself especially at peak times of the day causing tailbacks and pollution from car exhausts. Small residential roads such as Tradescant Drive and Denes Way will be used as cut throughs, particularly at peak times of the morning and evening. Second, the effect on the existing village services. Both the primary and secondary schools do not have the capacity to take more children from Meopham. There is already insufficient parking available at the parade of shops. Equally, Meopham Medical Centre is already barely able to cope with the number of patients on its books at the moment. Its car park is constantly full to overflowing with patients forced to use the library and nursery car parks as an overflow (if they can find a space there). Third, in an age when we need to find more sustainable ways of living and reduce carbon emissions from food production, why are we even contemplating concreting over high grade farm land that has been used for centuries for that purpose and which can provide food for the South East of England with no air or sea miles attached? There seems to be little consideration given to the damage that this will do to wildlife habitats.

Finally, like planning applications 20250992 and 20250993, this is using Greenbelt land which has not been released from the Greenbelt boundary. So what is the legal justification for using such land?

Kind regards