

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/01/2026 3:38 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land At Rose Farm Downs Road Istead Rise Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the demolition of 64 Downs Road and erection of up to 154No. residential dwellings (including affordable housing), with all matters reserved except for access. Creation of a new access from Downs Road.
Case Officer:	Adeoye Lawal

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I saw the view from Upper Avenue that Gravesham used to promote living in Gravesend . Sadly if this proposal goes ahead the green fields(in this view) that harbour natural habitats will be lost . The wildlife ,including protected species will suffer catastrophically. I have lived here for 40 years and seen so many detrimental changes . I watch school children and parents trying to navigate parked cars and often traffic congestion when the Wrotham Road or A2 cause cars to detour and use Upper Avenue and Downs Road. The impact of possibly 400 more cars would create even more issues than we have already. Our GP surgery is already full to capacity . I believe the Practice Manager has verified this despite Esquire in their presentation claiming there is room . Our local hospitals are massively over stretched. Downs Road is particularly susceptible to flooding . We are at the bottom of a valley and I have reported flooding issues on several occasions. We do not have a train station and only limited bus provision. We are a car dependent Community, there is no safe walking paths to either Gravesend or Meopham . I understood that the Government said to prioritise Brownfield land and that the natural environment should be left in a better state than before . This plan is inappropriate and cannot benefit or reflect the needs of local people .

Kind regards