

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/03/2026 7:14 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Sunnyside Wrotham Road Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of 725 new homes on land off Wrotham Road, Meopham.

Wrotham Road is already operating at or beyond full capacity and is frequently borderline dangerous due to both the volume and speed of traffic. At peak times, traffic congestion is severe, and the lack of sufficient safe crossing points makes the road hazardous for both drivers and pedestrians. The proposed development would significantly increase traffic levels, further amplifying existing dangers, increasing congestion, and heightening the risk of serious accidents. The road infrastructure simply cannot support this level of additional strain.

I am also deeply concerned about the loss of green land. This land is not merely empty space - it is an essential part of Meopham's rural character, environmental balance, and charm. It contributes to local wildlife habitats, natural drainage, and the open countryside setting that defines this village. Developing on this land would permanently erode Meopham's natural beauty and destroy green space that can never be replaced.

Furthermore, the increase in traffic resulting from this development will make

walking within the village increasingly difficult and unsafe. Meopham should be a place where residents, including children, elderly people, and those with mobility issues, can move around safely and comfortably. This proposal would instead create a more dangerous environment, discouraging walking and increasing car dependency, which also contradicts sustainability and environmental goals.

In addition to traffic and safety concerns, the scale of this development is entirely out of proportion with the size of the village. An influx of potentially 800 to 1,000 new residents will place enormous pressure on local services and infrastructure including GP surgeries, schools, roads, public transport, drainage systems and utilities. There is currently no evidence these services have the capacity to support such an expansion.

This development would irreversibly alter the character of Meopham, changing it from a village into a suburban extension, driven by developer profit rather than genuine community need or sustainable planning. Once approved, this would also set a dangerous precedent for further overdevelopment of surrounding green land.

For all the reasons outlined above - road safety, infrastructure limitations, environmental damage, and loss of village character - I strongly urge the planning authority to refuse this application.

Thank you for considering my objection.



Kind regards