

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/01/2026 3:14 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: 40 Cross Lane East Gravesend Kent DA12 5HB

Proposal: Demolition of existing garage and construction of two storey side extension, single storey rear extension, conversion of loft space into habitable rooms and construction of rear dormer to facilitate the conversion of the dwelling into three self-contained flats.

Case Officer: Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED] Cross Lane East Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: It is apparent that the only comments of support for this application have been made by the property owner, or friends of, with no substantive argument for the benefit of permitting this development. The owner's sole aim is clearly to make as much money as possible from the family home they have purchased by turning it into a HMO. The new drawings are yet another attempt to bend the rules by dividing a house into multiple flats, but ultimately the numerous concerns raised by neighbours (namely insufficient parking, danger of increased traffic accidents at the crossroads if residents continue to park inconsiderately, noise pollution, loss of character, potential for increase in antisocial behaviour, unsuitable living conditions for the number of potential residents etc.) on each of the previous applications remain unaddressed. There is ample suitable housing in Gravesend, which is only set to significantly increase with further developments in the town centre, not to mention the numerous existing new developments in Singlewell, Shears Green, Springhead and Ebbsfleet in recent years. The stress that these unrelenting applications must be causing immediate neighbours is unacceptable and the council must remain firm in its decision to reject this additional unsuitable application and put a stop to the applicant's repeated attempts to spoil the area and put unreasonable strain on local amenities for their own financial gain.

Kind regards

