

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 04/12/2025 1:36 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To Longfield Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0EW
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 120 residential dwellings, public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Longfield Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I object to this proposal due to its direct impact on school safety, traffic congestion, air quality, wildlife, drainage, and the cumulative burden placed on the village.

1. Traffic and Road Safety Next to Two Schools

This site is located adjacent to/near two schools, where traffic is already extremely heavy at drop-off and pick-up times. Adding 120 homes will significantly worsen:

vehicle congestion

air pollution exposure for children

safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists

emergency access capability

2. Drainage and Flooding

As surrounding area floods frequently, additional development will greatly worsen waterlogging and surface run-off around the schools and nearby homes.

3. Badger Set and Biodiversity / Green Belt

This site contains a known badger sett, and the wider area is rich with badger activity. Badgers and their setts are legally protected. Any disturbance, displacement, or sett closure must be strictly regulated, and no convincing ecological mitigation has been provided.

The wider impact of three simultaneous developments on wildlife corridors is

completely overlooked.

Furthermore the loss of green belt and arable farm land is detrimental environmentally, as well as impacting drainage capacity.

4. Overcapacity of Local Services

Schools are already at or near capacity. GP services and Darent Valley Hospital are under strain. This development offers no solutions.

5. Cumulative Harm

This application cannot be considered in isolation. With 350 + 150 homes also proposed locally, the total additional dwellings reach 620, an enormous burden on the village of Meopham.

Conclusion

Due to road safety concerns near schools, increased pollution, drainage failures, ecological harm, and excessive cumulative impact, this application should be refused.

Kind regards