

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 04/12/2025 3:50 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Northumberland road Istead rise Gravesend

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	URGENT time is running out! Like it runs out NEXT WEEK!

Three outline planning applications have been submitted for a total of 620 new houses in Meopham as below:-

120 at Longfield Road Next to Helen Allison School Deadline for comments is 3rd Dec

350 at Wrotham Road, Opposite Parade of Shops Deadline for comments is 3rd Dec

150 at West of Norwood Lane Deadline for comments is 10th Dec

The picture shows these three and also the bigger site on Norwood Lane.

It is critical that as many comments are made on the GBC planning portal. However, those comments need to be valid reasons for objecting. A list is below for you to pick and choose from.

Please do make comments on each of the three sites. You don't need to write huge representations. Just a couple of valid points is enough.

Sadly, some information has been released with incorrect deadlines. Time is very tight so please act now!

#### 1. Inconsistency with Green Belt Purposes and Local Plans

The proposed development fundamentally undermines the purposes of the Green Belt, even if the land is classified as "grey belt." According to the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), the primary purposes of the Green Belt are:

- The development would cause the unrestricted sprawl of a built-up area and the Loss of Character: The development would harm the setting and special character of a historic village.

#### Insufficient Infrastructure and Services

A large development this size is unsustainable. The existing local infrastructure cannot cope with the increased population.

The development would lead to a significant increase in traffic congestion, lack of adequate public transport provision and pose a risk to highway and pedestrian safety. Already the A227 is used as a rat run and traffic is heavy 7 days per week and will only increase if this development is allowed causing more traffic and the surrounding roads not able to cope and being proposed opposite 2 schools and another very large secondary school just along the road to this large proposed development is not in the interest of Meopham village.

- Lack of Social Infrastructure : The local community lacks sufficient capacity in schools, over subscribed , healthcare facilities over subscribed (e.g., GP surgeries), and other public services to support and very Limited bus service.

These concerns relate to how the proposed development will impact the surrounding area and the quality of life for existing. The design, scale, height, or density of the proposed buildings are out of character with the existing street scene and local area.

The sheer size or massing of the buildings would be oppressive and visually dominant from and change the whole landscape making it an urban sprawl .

Even if the land is considered "grey belt," it may still have environmental value that must remain

- Contamination: The site is contaminated and the developer's plan to mitigate this risk is insufficient or poses a risk to health and the

---

Kind regards