

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 03/12/2024 7:23 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of Pear Tree Lane Pear Tree Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Change of use of the land for the stationing of 2 static homes, 2 touring caravans and shared day room for Gypsy / Traveller occupation. Associated hard and soft landscaping.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Pear tree lane Shorne

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I object on the basis

The land concerned is part of the Green Belt and there have been numerous applications for planning for house building that have been rejected and appeals that have also been rejected. If housing cannot be built on the site, why would anyone think it appropriate to permit static caravans?

I echo complaints made by others that approving this development would be detrimental through:

- The impact the site will have on the environment.
- The negative impact on the local character and the rural landscape.
- The extra traffic in a narrow rural lane.
- The precedent it will set for similar developments.
- The land is greenbelt and this development is inappropriate.
- The inappropriate stationing of a traveller's site in a rural housing area.
- As the government inspector stated, "the development of this area would harm the landscape character of the area with particular regard to the Shorne Woodlands Landscape Area"

This application does not comply with Policy E of the government's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites that states traveller sites are inappropriate in Greenbelt areas.

There is also no approved provision in this area for traveller sites in the Gravesham Local Plan 2023-2028 nor in the Gravesham Gypsy and Traveller Five Year Land Supply Statement.

I think there is a high likelihood that the previous owner has deliberately sold this land to travellers as payback to the council and neighbours because he has had planning applications consistently rejected.

The council should reject this application.

Kind regards