

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/06/2025 8:26 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At St Albans Close Open Space Gravesend Kent DA12 5SE
Proposal:	Erection of 8x Solohaus self contained modular homes for temporary homeless accommodation, with associated plant, bin and bike stores and landscaping.
Case Officer:	Mrs Lisa Fisher

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] ST Albans Close Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Dear Sir or Madam, Objection to the Solohause Modular Housing Scheme at St Alban's Close. I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of the Solohause modular housing scheme at St Alban's Close. While I appreciate the importance of addressing homelessness, I believe this specific project is not suitable for our community for several reasons. Firstly, the design of the proposed housing units is incompatible with the character of the area. St Alban's Close is a neighbourhood of traditional, well-maintained homes, and the introduction of unattractive modular units will significantly detract from its aesthetic appeal. Such a development could negatively impact the property values in the area, which is a major concern for many local homeowners. Secondly, the proposed number of units-eight-seems excessive for such a small site. This level of density may lead to overcrowding, putting additional strain on local infrastructure and services, including roads, parking, and waste management. The narrow streets in the area are already challenging for traffic, and this development could exacerbate the situation, leading to congestion and safety issues. Moreover, while the aim to provide housing for homeless individuals is commendable, the project raises valid concerns about community safety. Without detailed assurances about proper vetting, support, and supervision, there is a risk of antisocial behaviour or other issues that could pose a threat to the wellbeing of residents, particularly children. Families in the area value the safe, peaceful environment, and any development that jeopardises this would understandably be met with concern. Finally, the lack of community consultation on this matter is disappointing. Residents have had no meaningful opportunity to voice their concerns or discuss
-----------	---

alternatives. Community support is essential for the success of any initiative aimed at integrating vulnerable individuals into society.

I urge the council to reconsider this proposal and instead explore alternative solutions that would be better suited to both the intended residents and the existing community. Smaller, well-planned developments in appropriate locations, combined with robust support services, would provide a more effective and harmonious way to address homelessness without compromising the safety and wellbeing of established neighbourhoods.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my concerns. I trust that you will take these objections seriously and engage with local residents before moving forward.

[Redacted signature]

Kind regards