

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/12/2025 1:28 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	1 Marina Drive Northfleet Gravesend Kent DA11 9LQ
Proposal:	Demolition of existing lean to and erection of single storey rear extension with roof lights.
Case Officer:	Mrs Nina Goodbody

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: We wish to raise serious concerns and object to the proposed demolition and single-storey rear extension due to its harmful impact on our privacy, safety, and the security of our property.

One side [REDACTED] garden has already been left fully exposed as a result of incomplete and unfinished works, and the boundary treatment has not been properly reinstated. This situation has significantly affected our privacy, personal safety, and the security of our home. The lack of a proper boundary has left [REDACTED] garden open and vulnerable, which is unacceptable and has caused ongoing distress and concern.

The existing fence and boundary at the rear have not been completed or repaired, leaving [REDACTED] property inadequately protected. Any further development should not be permitted unless and until a full, secure, and appropriate boundary fence or wall is reinstated to an acceptable standard. This should be a strict condition prior to the commencement of any new development.

The proposed rear extension, would further worsen the situation. It has the potential to increase overlooking, reduce our sense of privacy, and create an oppressive and enclosing effect on [REDACTED] garden. This would significantly harm our residential amenity and enjoyment of our property.

Allowing further development while existing boundary issues remain unresolved would place our privacy and property safety at even greater risk. The current

exposure of [REDACTED] garden demonstrates a failure to properly manage boundary responsibilities, and this raises serious concerns about the impact of the proposed works on neighbouring properties.

For these reasons, we strongly object to the proposal unless clear, enforceable conditions are imposed requiring the full reinstatement and completion of secure boundary treatment before any development begins, and assurances are provided that neighbouring residents' privacy, safety, and amenity will be fully protected.

Kind regards