

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/03/2026 9:18 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Sole Street Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I am writing to formally object to the proposed planning application for the construction of several hundred houses at the above site. My objection is based on the following planning concerns: 1. Significant Traffic Impact The proposed scale of development will inevitably lead to a substantial increase in vehicle movements in the surrounding area. Local roads are already operating close to capacity, particularly during peak commuting hours. Additional traffic from hundreds of new homes will increase congestion, extend journey times, and create additional safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists. The surrounding road network was not designed to accommodate development of this magnitude. Without substantial and clearly defined infrastructure upgrades, the increase in traffic will have a detrimental impact on local residents and the wider transport network. 2. Pressure on Local Services and Infrastructure The application does not adequately demonstrate how local services will cope
-----------	--

with the additional population. GP surgeries, dental services, and other essential community facilities are already under considerable pressure.

A development of this size would place a significant additional burden on these services, potentially reducing access and quality of provision for existing residents. The proposal appears to rely on already stretched infrastructure rather than delivering sufficient new capacity.

3. Inappropriate Development on Green Belt Land

The proposed development would result in the loss of valuable Green Belt land. The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl and protect the openness and character of the countryside.

Allowing large-scale housing development in this location would undermine these principles and set a concerning precedent for further erosion of protected land. The application does not demonstrate the exceptional circumstances required to justify development on Green Belt land.

4. Impact on the Character of the Area

The scale and density of the proposed housing would significantly alter the character of the area. Large housing estates are not in keeping with the existing landscape or the rural setting currently protected by Green belt.

Kind regards