

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 05/12/2025 6:07 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Wrotham Sevenoaks

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I strongly object to the above application for the following material reasons: 1. Harm to Green Belt The proposal constitutes inappropriate development in the Green Belt. It would cause urban sprawl, encroach on open countryside, and harm local character. No very special circumstances exist to outweigh the clear harm (NPPF paragraphs 143-152). 2. Unsustainable Location & Inadequate Infrastructure Local roads are already congested, public transport is poor, schools and GP surgeries are full, and the site is at risk of surface-water flooding. The development would place unacceptable strain on services and increase flood risk elsewhere (contrary to NPPF paragraphs 105-113 & 167). 3. Poor Design & Loss of Amenity The scale, height, density and layout are out of character with the low-density surrounding area. The scheme would cause significant overlooking, loss of privacy, overshadowing and an overbearing impact on neighbouring properties (contrary to NPPF Chapter 12 and Local Plan design policies). 4. Ecological Harm The site supports protected species and valuable habitats/trees. The proposed mitigation is inadequate and the development would fail to deliver a measurable biodiversity net gain (contrary to NPPF Chapter 15 and the Environment Act 2021). This application is contrary to the NPPF, the Local Plan, and the government's own "grey belt" requirements. It should be refused.
-----------	--

Kind regards