

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/08/2026 9:02 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Woodlands Farm Wrotham Road Culverstone Gravesend Kent DA13 0RQ
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the development of 67 dwellings, public open space and associated works, with approval sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Newlands Lane. All other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Beechwood Drive Culverstone Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	This will cause a huge problem within our small and already overrun village. We are continuously having power cuts, The water pressure is low due to the amount of houses already in the area. Our roads are already overrun with people who are moving in from out of the area and not respecting our tiny roads, driving too fast and endangering children, wildlife and the horses and riders that have grown up here. My son couldn't get into his first two school choices, which we are well within the catchment area for, due to there being too many children needing a place and we are not the only people who's children didn't get their closest/first choice schools either. How can our local shop that has very little parking cope and our DR surgery that is overcrowded and we can not get an appointment at.. The petrol station running out of fuel all the time with dangerous queues out onto the main road. We recently submitted planning for an extension on our property that got rejected due to being harmful for the greenbelt and woodlands but people are submitting planning for 67 houses down the road from us. Our extension looked exactly the same as our neighbouring properties, yet we was refused with the council stating it would cause too much harm and not in guidelines with the greenbelt, so just purely off of this one refusal, 67 houses in our quaint countryside should not be even considered.
-----------	---

Kind regards

