

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 08/03/2026 8:19 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Edmund Close Meopham Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	We moved into Meopham over thirty years ago and we've seen a few changes over those years in Meopham but nothing on the sack of what is being proposed. We live fairly close to Norwood Lane and this proposal to build 725 dwellings is quite ridiculous the roads can't take any more traffic as it is now certain times of the day I can wait over 10 minutes to get out of Norwood Lane on to the A227. While all this work is in progress the total area would be horrendous with many heavy work lorries up-and-down Norwood Lane which the road can't take. This wouldn't just be for a few days it would be for a few months. We don't have any enough infrastructure to cope with 725 new dwellings, the impact on the environment with pollution, the drainage system is just not gonna cope with that amount of new dwellings. This will also have a massive impact on wildlife which would be such a shame. This would also link Meopham with Sole Street two individual villages at present and want to stay that way. Why build another school nothing about a new GP surgery Meopham Medical Centre is under pressure now they certainly wouldn't cope with another 725 dwellings. This proposal definitely needs to be scrapped.

Kind regards

