

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/09/2026 8:10 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Unit F Hardys Corner 2 Vale Road Northfleet Gravesend Kent DA11 8BZ
Proposal:	Retrospective application for the installation and retention of an extraction system and air intake serving a car respraying booth, together with alterations to the extraction flue to provide a vertical discharge terminating a minimum of 1 metre above eaves level and the installation of associated acoustic attenuation measures.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Dover Road Northfleet Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: We are extremely concerned that the occupiers of [REDACTED] Dover Road were not notified of this planning application despite a significant history of correspondence between this property and the Council's Enforcement Team regarding the development in question.

When this structure was first erected, the matter was reported to Gravesham Borough Council and acknowledged under reference 26/00077/NOPP - BRT Properties Ltd, 2 Vale Road on 1 June 2026.

On 2 July 2026 testing of the installation generated noise levels that were wholly unacceptable. Regardless of the measured level, any additional noise from this development adversely affects the enjoyment of our property (video presented to Enforcement Officer 02-07-26)

When we purchased our home 27 years ago no such disturbance existed and we have a reasonable expectation that our residential amenity should be protected from ongoing noise nuisance.

Furthermore, on 5 August 2026 the flue operated twice within a 30-minute period emitting strong paint fumes. The odour was clearly detectable at the front of our property and significantly stronger within our rear garden. The intensity of these

emissions substantially impacted our ability to enjoy our home and outdoor space. The matter was immediately reported to the Enforcement Team.

Following a site visit we were informed that the spray booth had not been used; however, we possess video evidence showing activity associated with the flue at the times concerned. In addition to the noise and odour concerns, the flue and associated structure have a significant visual impact. The installation is intrusive, unsightly, and detrimental to the character of the surrounding area. It has become a dominant and unwelcome feature when viewed from our property and garden (video presented to Enforcement Officer 05-08-26).

For many years, while the garage previously operated from these premises, we experienced no issues relating to noise, odour, or visually intrusive structures. The current situation represents a marked deterioration in the amenity enjoyed by neighbouring residents.

For these reasons, we strongly object to this application. We respectfully request that the Council takes fully into account the adverse effects on neighbouring properties, particularly in relation to:

Noise nuisance;
Odour and airborne emissions;
Loss of residential amenity;
Visual intrusion and detrimental appearance and
the cumulative impact on the enjoyment of neighbouring homes and gardens.

We urge the Council to refuse planning permission and take appropriate enforcement action to protect the amenity of neighbouring residents. Furthermore, we request that the unauthorised structure and associated flue be removed and that the site be reinstated to its former condition that existed prior to the unauthorised development, ensuring that neighbouring residents may enjoy their homes and outdoor space once more.

Kind regards