

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/12/2025 7:52 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I strongly and unequivocally object to this proposed housing development, which will cause serious and lasting harm to an area that is already struggling to cope with existing demand and will result in the permanent loss of green belt land that should be protected for the benefit of the community and future generations. Local GP surgeries, dental practices, and hospital services are stretched beyond reasonable limits, with residents facing long waits for appointments, difficulty registering with practices, and reduced access to urgent care; adding a significant number of new households without guaranteed and immediate expansion of medical services will inevitably worsen this situation and place residents' health and wellbeing at risk. Schools in the area are already under severe pressure, with many operating at or near capacity, increasing class sizes, and families struggling to secure places locally, leading to longer journeys, added costs, and unnecessary stress for children and parents alike; this development will only intensify these problems. The local road network is completely unsuitable for current levels of use, suffering from persistent congestion, delays, safety concerns, and increased noise and air pollution, particularly during peak hours and school run times; introducing a large number of additional vehicles will not slightly worsen conditions but will dramatically amplify them, turning daily congestion into near-constant gridlock and making roads increasingly unsafe for drivers, pedestrians, cyclists, and emergency services. Emergency vehicle access is already compromised at busy times, and this risk will escalate further as traffic volumes increase. Utilities and essential services, including water supply, drainage, waste collection, and energy infrastructure, are already under

strain, with known issues such as flooding risk and service reliability likely to become more frequent and more severe as demand rises. The cumulative impact on community services, local amenities, and day-to-day living conditions will be substantial and irreversible. Of particular concern is the fact that this development is proposed on green belt land, resulting in the permanent loss of open space, wildlife habitat, and natural landscape that currently provides environmental value, helps manage flooding, supports biodiversity, and offers residents access to countryside and green space that is vital for physical and mental wellbeing. Once lost, this land cannot be replaced. Existing residents should not be expected to accept longer waits for medical care, fewer school places, worsening traffic, declining air quality, increased noise, and the destruction of valued green space simply to accommodate further housing in an area that is already at breaking point. This development would significantly reduce quality of life for current residents and create unacceptable living conditions for future occupants, and for these reasons it should not go ahead.

Kind regards