



PRELIMINARY ECOLOGICAL APPRAISAL (PEA)

REAR OF STARWOOD

PEAR TREE LANE, SHORNE

DISCLAIMER

This report has been prepared by Native Ecology in its professional capacity as consultants in accordance with the terms and conditions set out within the contract with the commissioning party (the 'Client').

This report is issued to the Client for their sole use and for the intended purpose as stated in the agreement between the Client and Native Ecology under which this work was completed. No part of this report may be copied or reproduced by any means without written permission from Native Ecology. The use of this report by unauthorised third parties is at their own risk and Native Ecology accepts no duty of care to any such third party. Opinions, information and recommendations provided within the report should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. Opinions and recommendations are based upon Native Ecology using due skill and diligence with the information made available at the time that Native Ecology performed the work. The content of this report has been provided in accordance with the provisions of the CIEEM Code of Professional Conduct and to the principles and requirements of British Standard BS42020.

Nothing in this report constitutes legal opinion. If legal opinion is required the advice of a qualified legal professional should be sought.

Reference	Ref: 0920_R01_PEA
Report status	Information
Author	Cali Tardivel BSc MSc QCIEEM
Checked by	Amy Wright BSc MSc CEcol MCIEEM
1st issue date	10th March 2022
Revised	-
Revision issue	-

Native Ecology LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales, number OC424800.

Any reference to a partner in relation to Native Ecology LLP means a member of Native Ecology LLP.

Registered Office: Camburgh House, 27 New Dover Road, Canterbury, Kent, CT1 3DN

Native Ecology, Unit 93, Waterham Business Park, Highstreet Road, Waterham, Faversham, Kent. ME13 9EJ

t: 01227 656757

e: info@native-ecology.co.uk

w: www.native-ecology.co.uk

CONTENTS

1.	SUMMARY	4
2.	INTRODUCTION	5
3.	SITE LOCATION PLAN	6
4.	EXISTING SITE PLAN	7
5.	METHODOLOGY	8
6.	CURRENT ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS	12
7.	PHOTOGRAPHS	21
8.	ECOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS	22
9.	FURTHER SURVEY WORK	25
10.	MITIGATION MEASURES	27
11.	SUGGESTED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES	31
12.	REFERENCES	33
13.	APPENDIX 1: SUMMARY OF PLANNING POLICY AND LEGISLATION	34
14.	APPENDIX 2: SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT OF ROOSTING HABITAT	38
15.	APPENDIX 3: HABITAT PLAN	39
16.	APPENDIX 4: DESIGNATED SITES PLAN	41
17.	APPENDIX 4: WATERBODY PLAN	43

1. SUMMARY

- S.1 This report details a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal (PEA) undertaken in respect of proposed development at Rear of Starwood, Pear Tree Lane, Shorne, DA12 3JU.
- S.2 Proposals are yet to be finalised but include the demolition of buildings B2-8, the renovation of B1 to provide a residential dwelling and construction of a new garage building
- S.3 A PEA site visit was undertaken by Native Ecology on 1st March 2022.
- S.4 Habitats within the Site comprise grazed modified grassland with scattered trees, areas of hardstanding and eight buildings.
- S.5 Further survey work / assessment is recommended to determine the presence/ likely absence of roosting bats in tree T4 (should it require removal) and great crested newt within the Site and to inform suitable mitigation (detailed in Section 9).
- S.6 The Site lies closely adjacent to Court Wood Local Wildlife Site and Starmore Wood Ancient Woodland. Mitigation to avoid accidental damage to these sites is provided in Section 10.
- S.7 Mitigation, without the requirement for further survey work, is recommended for foraging and commuting bats, badger, hedgehog and nesting birds (detailed within Section 10).
- S.8 Section 11 includes recommended appropriate biodiversity enhancement measures which could be included within development proposals.
- S.9 It is recommended that the results of the PEA report and any other further ecological survey work / assessment is incorporated into an Ecological Impact Assessment (EclA) report, which should be submitted with the planning application.
- S.10 Appendix 1 gives an overview of relevant legislation, which should be read in conjunction with this report.
- S.11 Appendix 2 provides a summary of the suitability assessment for roosting habitat in buildings and trees
- S.12 A habitat plan is provided in Appendix 3.
- S.13 A plan of designated sites within 500m of the Site is provided in Appendix 4.
- S.14 A plan of waterbodies within 250m of the Site is provided in Appendix 5.

2. INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 This report details a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal undertaken in respect of proposed development at Rear of Starwood, Pear Tree Lane, Shorne, DA12 3JU (site centred TQ 69799 70574).
- 2.2 Figure 1, Section 3 provides a site location plan.

COMMISSION

- 2.3 Native Ecology was commissioned by Terry Russell in February 2022 to undertake a Preliminary Ecological Appraisal within the site.

APPLICATION SITE

- 2.4 The application site, hereafter referred to as 'the Site', comprises a grazed field with scattered trees and an access road and parking area. There are eight buildings/structures within the Site including a barn, container storage, stables and a mobile building. The Site extends to approximately 0.4ha.
- 2.5 Figure 2, Section 4 provides an existing site plan (topographical survey plan).

PROPOSED WORKS

- 2.6 Proposals are yet to be finalised but include the demolition of buildings B2-8, the renovation of B1 to provide a residential dwelling and construction of a new garage building.

PURPOSE OF REPORT

- 2.7 This report aims to provide general advice on ecological constraints associated with proposed development within the site and includes recommendations for mitigation and further survey work, where required.
- 2.8 The objectives of the report are to:
- Describe the current ecological conditions present within the site.
 - Identify any key ecological constraints to the proposed development both with regards protected species and sites.
 - Identify where mitigation will allow significant ecological effects to be avoided or minimised wherever possible.
 - Identify any further ecological surveys required in order to assess the possible impact on protected and important / notable species.
 - Recommend ecological enhancements to be incorporated into the development proposals.

3. SITE LOCATION PLAN



Figure 1. Location of development site. Reproduced from OS Explorer 148 1:25,000 Ordnance Survey © Crown copyright and database rights [2015] (Site centred TQ 69799 70574).

4. EXISTING SITE PLAN




Figure 2. Existing site plan (Graham Simpkin Planning, Drawing No. 3514 02, dated January 2022).

5. METHODOLOGY

DESK STUDY

Zone of Influence

- 5.1 The 'zone of influence' for a project is the area over which ecological features may be subject to significant effects as a result of the proposed project and associated activities (CIEEM, 2017a).
- 5.2 This report provides an assessment of the effects of a proposed development on protected or ecologically valuable sites, habitats or species where these effects extend beyond the development boundary of the site.

Designated sites

- 5.3 Potential impacts to designated sites, including Natura sites and SSSIs, have been considered.
- 5.4 The Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website was used to obtain information about statutory designated sites of international importance such as Special Protection Areas (SPA) within 7.2km of the Site.
- 5.5 Information was obtained about statutory designated sites of national importance such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and National Nature Reserves (NNR) within 2km of the Site and ancient woodland within 500m of the Site.
- 5.6 Kent Landscape Information System (KLIS) was used to identify Local Sites, such as Local Wildlife Sites (LWS), located within 1km of the Site.

Data search

- 5.7 Records were obtained from the Kent Reptile and Amphibian Group (KRAG) within 1km of the Site in March 2021.
- 5.8 Ordnance survey maps, the Multi Agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) website and aerial images were used to identify waterbodies within 250m of the Site boundary. MAGIC Map was also used to obtain information on locations where European Protected Species Mitigation (EPSM) Licences for great crested newt have been issued by Natural England within 1km of the Site.

FIELD STUDY

- 5.9 A Preliminary Ecological Appraisal site visit was undertaken by Calista Tardivel BSc MSc QCIEEM of Native Ecology on 1st March 2022.

Table 1. Survey details

Survey date	1st March 2022
Surveyor	Cali Tardivel BSc MSc QCIEEM
Time on site	13:00- 14:00
Weather	7°C, overcast, BF 0, mist, ground wet

UK Habitat Classification

- 5.10 Habitats within the Site were mapped and classified in accordance with the The Professional Edition of the UK Habitat Classification.
- 5.11 There are 5 levels of hierarchy, which provide an increasing level of detail. For the purpose of this assessment, habitats have been mapped for Primary Habitats up to Level 4.
- 5.12 Secondary codes have been assigned, where appropriate. These Secondary Codes allow recording of additional information, linked to the Primary Habitats. In some cases, habitat types are defined by a Secondary Code only, where Primary Habitats do not sufficiently represent the habitat present.

Protected species and habitats

- 5.13 During the survey the species and habitats identified within the Site were recorded. An assessment was also made as to the presence or potential presence of protected, important or Nationally Rare species.
- 5.14 Protected species and habitats considered include those listed under the Schedules of the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 and of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981.
- 5.15 In addition, an assessment has been made as to the possible impacts of the proposed development on nature conservation interests, in accordance with information relevant to the National Planning Policy Framework and Local Planning Policy.

Bats

Preliminary Roost Assessment (bats)

- 5.16 A systematic search of the exterior and interior of buildings within the site was undertaken to identify potential bat access points and roosting places and to locate any evidence of bats such as bat droppings, urine staining and fur-oil staining. The inspection included exterior features of the buildings, such as sills, window panes, walls and the ground beneath potential access points to look for signs of bats, such as droppings.
- 5.17 A preliminary ground level roost assessment of trees within the site was undertaken to determine whether trees possessed Potential Roost Features (PRFs) for bats. Where possible, trees were assessed as providing either negligible, low, moderate or high suitability for roosting bats.

- 5.18 The suitability of roosting habitat and foraging and commuting habitat within the site was assessed following recommendations provided within Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines, 3rd edition, Bat Conservation Trust (Collins, 2016) (see Appendix 2 for suitability assessment and survey effort required for structures and trees).

Badger

- 5.19 During the site survey any badger field signs observed were recorded and mapped. These included; sett entrances, latrines, pathways, snuffle holes, footprints, and push-throughs.

Reptiles

- 5.20 The suitability of habitats within the Site to support reptiles was assessed during the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal site visit. Any incidental sightings were recorded.

Great crested newt

- 5.21 The level of survey effort and data collection required to support a Planning Application or European Protected Species Mitigation (EPSM) Licence for great crested newts is relative to the potential impact. For EPSM Licence applications, typically ponds within 250m of the construction zone are surveyed for the presence (and population assessment) of great crested newts.

- 5.22 Following the guidance of Natural England (2015) waterbodies located beyond 250m from the development are only surveyed if all of the following conditions are met:

- ponds have potential to support a large great crested newt population;
- the development footprint contains particularly favourable habitat, especially if it constitutes the majority available locally;
- the development would have a substantial negative effect on that habitat; and
- there is an absence of dispersal barriers.

- 5.23 Based on the listed criteria above, a proportionate survey area for the Site includes the assessment of any ponds within 250m of the construction zone.

Habitats and Species of Principal Importance

- 5.24 An assessment was made as to the likely presence of Habitats and Species of Principal Importance under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities (NERC) Act 2006 and birds on the Red and Amber lists of birds of conservation concern.

LIMITATIONS

- 5.25 This report aims to provide general advice on ecological constraints associated with the development of the site, it does not include detailed information on particular species or species groups but instead makes recommendations for further, species-specific surveys required.

- 5.26 The survey was conducted at a time of year where some breeding birds may not be present, and when some plant species may not be conspicuous.

- 5.27 In accordance with CIEEM guidance, consideration should be given to the validity of survey data after a period of 12 month from the date of the survey. This may require a site visit to assess whether ecological conditions within the site have changed and may require further ecological survey work due to the transient nature of some protected species.

Gravesham Borough Council

6. CURRENT ECOLOGICAL CONDITIONS

DESIGNATED SITES

Statutory Sites of International Importance

- 6.1 There is one Ramsar one Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and one Special Protection Area (SPA) located within 7.2km of the Site.

Table 2. Details of Statutory Sites of International Importance within 7.2km of the site boundary.

Designation	Site name	Distance and direction from site	Qualifying features
Ramsar	Thames Estuary and Marshes	3.8km N	Qualifies under criterion 5 for supporting internationally important assemblages of waterfowl. Qualifies under criterion 6 for supporting internationally important numbers of breeding ringed plover (<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>) and black-tailed godwit (<i>Limosa limosa islandica</i>) and over wintering grey plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>), red knot (<i>Calidris canutus islandica</i>), dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina alpina</i>) and common redshank (<i>Tringa totanus totanus</i>). (JNCC, 2000).
SAC	North Downs Woodlands	5.2km S	Qualifies under the Habitats Directive for supporting Annex I habitat that are a primary reason for selection of this site beech forests (<i>Asperulo-fagetum</i>) and English yew (<i>Taxus baccata</i>) woods of the British Isles. Qualifies under the Habitats Directive for supporting Annex I habitat present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for selection of this site semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies on calcareous substrates (<i>Festuco-Brometalia</i>) -(important orchid site). (JNCC, 2015a)
SPA	Thames Estuary and Marshes	3.8km N	Article 4.1 of the Habitats Directive for supporting populations of European importance of over wintering hen harrier (<i>Circus cyaneus</i>) and breeding avocet (<i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i>). Article 4.2 of the Habitats Directive for supporting dunlin (<i>Calidris alpina alpina</i>), red knot (<i>Calidris canutus</i>), black-tailed godwit (<i>Limosa limosa islandica</i>), grey plover (<i>Pluvialis squatarola</i>) and redshank (<i>Tringa totanus</i>). The site regularly support on passage common ringed plover (<i>Charadrius hiaticula</i>). Article 4.2 of the Habitats Directive for supporting an internationally important assemblage of birds (JNCC, 2015b).

- 6.2 According to the Impact Risk Zones for Ramsar Sites, Special Areas of Protection (SPA), SACs and SSSIs shown on Natural England's MAGIC map application, the planning application type means there is no requirement for the Local Planning Authority to consult with Natural England during the planning process regarding statutory designated sites for residential developments fewer than 50 units.

- 6.3 The qualifying features associated with North Downs Woodland SAC and Thames Estuary and Marshes Ramsar and SPA are unlikely to be found within the Site. In addition, given the distance between the sites, it is unlikely that the proposed development will impact the designated sites either directly or indirectly.
- 6.4 No further survey work or mitigation is proposed for Statutory Sites of International Importance.

Statutory Sites of National Importance

- 6.5 There are three Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) located within 2km of the survey area.

Table 3. Details of Statutory Sites of National Importance within 2km of the site boundary.

Designation	Site name	Distance and direction from site	Qualifying features
SSSI	Great Crabbles Wood	0.1km SE	This site is representative of woods on North West Kent Tertiary sediments; these comprise a succession of strata over Upper Chalk ranging from Blackheath gravels to Woolwich loams and Thanet sands, which give rise to a range of soil types. Most of the woodland is mixed coppice under oak standards, with sweet chestnut as the dominant species. A number of scarce plants occur, including lady orchid (<i>Orchis purpurea</i>) and man orchid (<i>Aceras anthropophorum</i>) (Natural England, 1984a).
SSSI	Shorne and Ashenbank Woods	0.7km W	The site comprises a complex of ancient and plantation woodland, and include a variety of stand-types associated with Tertiary gravels, clays and sands. The site supports an important and diverse invertebrate fauna, especially its Coleoptera (beetles), Hemiptera (true bugs), and Odonata (dragonflies). Rare species include the beetles (<i>Mordella holomelaena</i>) and (<i>Peltodytes caesus</i>). The woodland breeding bird community includes hawfinch, marsh tit and all three British woodpeckers. Part of the site is owned and managed by the County Council as Shorne Country Park. Part is owned by the Woodland Trust (Natural England, 1988).
SSSI	Cobham Woods	1.6km S	This woodland and old parkland is representative of woods in North Kent which occur in part on acidic Thanet Sands and in part on chalk soils. One nationally rare plant species, rough marsh-mallow (<i>Althaea hirsuta</i>) occurs in the arable land close to the wood. An outstanding assemblage of plants is present at this site which is also of importance for its breeding birds (Natural England, 1984b).

- 6.6 The qualifying features of the SSSI sites at Great Crabbles Wood, Shorne and Ashenbank Woods or Cobham Woods are not present within the Site.

- 6.7 It is unlikely that the proposed development will impact the SSSI sites either directly or indirectly due to the small scale and nature of proposals.
- 6.8 No further survey work or mitigation is proposed for Statutory Sites of National Importance.

Non-statutory sites

Local Sites

- 6.9 There is one Local Wildlife Site (LWS) within 1km of the Site boundary:
- Court Wood lies approximately 15m N.

Ancient Woodland

- 6.10 There are four areas of Ancient Woodland within 500m of the Site boundary:
- Starmore Wood lies approximately 20m N
 - An un-named wood lies approximately 100m NE
 - Great Crabbles wood lies approximately 250 SE
 - Cole wood lies approximately 300m NE
- 6.11 Non-statutory sites are considered further in Section 8.

HABITATS WITHIN THE SITE

Habitats of Principal Importance

- 6.12 There are no Habitats of Principal Importance within the Site.

Other habitats

Buildings

- 6.13 There are 8 buildings present within the Site. Table 4 below provides photographs and building descriptions.

Table 4. Description of buildings within the Site.

Building no. & name	Description	Photograph
B1	An open concrete framed barn with a pitched felt roof.	

Building no. & name	Description	Photograph
B2 and B3	Metal storage containers	
B4	Wooden stable with a flat felt roof. The roof and upper portion of the walls are unlined. The lower section of the wall is lined internally with timber, with a large open cavity between the external and internal wall.	
B5	Wooden stable with a pitched felt roof. The roof and upper portion of the walls are unlined. The lower section of the wall is lined internally with timber, with a large open cavity between the external and internal wall.	
B6	Wooden stable with a brick base and pitched felt roof. The roof and upper portion of the walls are unlined. The lower section of the wall is lined internally with timber, with a large open cavity between the external and internal wall.	
B7	Brick building with a pitched felt roof. The roof and gable are unlined.	
B8	Mobile building.	

6.14 Table 5 below describes the habitats present within the Site in accordance with UK Habitat Classification.

Table 5. Habitat types present within the Site, including level (UKHab), size and description (continued overleaf).

HABITAT TYPE				DESCRIPTION
Level 2 label	Level 3 label	Level 4 label	Level 5 label / Secondary codes	
Grassland (g)	Modified grassland (g4)		Horse grazed (61) Scattered trees (11)	The majority of the Site comprises modified grassland which is grazed by horses. Species include perennial rye grass (<i>Lolium perenne</i>), daisy (<i>Bellis perennis</i>), creeping buttercup (<i>Ranunculus repens</i>), meadow thistle (<i>Cirsium dissectum</i>), green alkanet (<i>Pentaglottis sempervirens</i>), stinging nettle (<i>Urtica dioica</i>), cleavers (<i>Galium aparine</i>), germander speedwell (<i>Veronica chamaedrys</i>), ground ivy (<i>Glechoma hederacea</i>), groundsel (<i>Senecio vulgaris</i>), dogs mercury (<i>Mercurialis Perennis</i>) and bristly ox tongue (<i>Helminthotheca echioides</i>). Scattered trees are present including oak (<i>Quercus sp.</i>), weeping willow (<i>Salix babylonica</i>), and leylandii (<i>Cupressus × leylandii</i>). A strip of modified grassland is also present along the driveway in the south of the Site.
Woodland and forest (w)	Broadleaved mixed and yew woodland (w1)	Lowland mixed deciduous woodland (w1f)	Line of trees (w1g6)	A leylandii and holly (<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>) tree line is present between the boundary of the driveway and the adjacent property, Starwood.
Urban (u)	Built-up areas and gardens (u1)	n/a	Introduced shrubs (1160)	A small area of ornamental planting is present on the eastern boundary of the driveway.
		Developed land; sealed surface (u1b)	Buildings (u1b5))	Buildings are described in Table 4.
			Other developed land (u1b6)	A driveway is present providing access between Pear Tree Lane and the Site.
		Artificial unvegetated, unsealed surface (u1c)	n/a	Areas of gravel hardstanding are present.

SURROUNDING HABITATS

- 6.15 The Site is situated in a rural area 1km SE of the village of Shorne and 5km NW of the town of Rochester.
- 6.16 Surrounding habitats comprise a mosaic of residential properties, woodland and pastoral farmland.

PROTECTED AND NOTABLE SPECIES

Bats - Roosting habitat

Buildings - Preliminary Roost Assessment

Table 6. Results of Preliminary Roost Assessment

Building	Potential roost features	Suitability for roosting bats
B1	No roost features were present on this large, open sided barn.	Negligible
B2+3	These metal storage containers are not suitable for roosting bats.	Negligible
B4-6	The majority of the stables are unlined, providing no voids, cracks or crevices suitable for roosting bats. The large cavity between the external wall and lower lining is open and exposed and unsuitable for roosting bats.	Negligible
B7	The brick stable building is unlined with a felt roof, providing no voids, cracks or crevices suitable for roosting bats.	Negligible
B8	The mobile building provides no cracks or crevices that could provide entry for roosting bats.	Negligible

- 6.17 No bats, or evidence of bats, was found during the internal inspection of the buildings. The buildings were found to provide negligible suitability for bats.
- 6.18 No further survey work or mitigation is required for roosting bats within the buildings.

Roosting bats - Trees

- 6.19 Table 7 below provides details of the ground level roost assessment for trees within the site.

Table 7. Results of ground level preliminary roost assessment of trees

Tree	Species	Potential roost features	Suitability for roosting bats
T1	Oak	A number of ramshorn features are present which could provide roosting opportunities for individual bats.	Low

Tree	Species	Potential roost features	Suitability for roosting bats
T2	Sweet chestnut	The majority of this tree has been pruned away and only the main trunk remains. Areas of lifted bark could provide roosting opportunities for individual bats.	Low
T3	Unknown	Standing dead tree with a number of small holes within the dead wood and lifted bark which could provide opportunities for individual bats.	Low
T4	Oak	A number of features including holes, lifted bark and ramshorns could provide opportunities for small groups of roosting bats but are unlikely to support a roost of high conservation status such as a maternity roost.	Moderate
T5	Weeping willow	A ramshorn feature is present which could provide roosting opportunities for individual bats.	Low

6.20 No bats, or evidence of bats was found during the PRA survey.

6.21 Roosting bats in trees are considered further in Section 8.

Foraging and commuting habitat

6.22 Habitats within the Site, including grazed modified grassland with scattered trees are assessed overall as providing moderate suitability for foraging and commuting bats.

6.23 Foraging and commuting bats are considered further in Section 8.

Hazel dormice

6.24 There is no habitat suitable for hazel dormice within, or in close proximity the site. No further survey work or mitigation is required for hazel dormice.

Otter

6.25 There is no habitat suitable for otter within, or in close proximity the site. No further survey work or mitigation is required for otter.

Badger

6.26 During the survey, no signs of badger were present within the Site and no badger setts were recorded within the accessible 30m of the Site boundary. Given its location within a rural area, badger may enter the Site on occasion whilst foraging.

6.27 Badger are considered further in Section 8.

Water vole

- 6.28 There is no habitat suitable for water vole within, or in close proximity to the site. No further survey work or mitigation is required for water vole.

Hedgehog

- 6.29 Habitats within the Site and surrounding area provide foraging opportunities for hedgehog, which may be present in the locality.
- 6.30 Hedgehog are considered further in Section 8.

Birds

- 6.31 Due to the habitats present, no Schedule 1 birds are expected to nest within the Site.
- 6.32 The trees within the Site provide suitable nesting habitat for a number of common bird species as well as red and amber listed birds such as song thrush (red) and wren (amber).
- 6.33 Evidence of nesting birds was also found within the stable buildings.
- 6.34 Birds are considered further in Section 8.

Reptiles

- 6.35 Data obtained from Krag include 1 record for grass snake, 0.92km SW of the Site in 2020. There are no further reptile records within 1km of the Site.
- 6.36 The short sward length, grazed modified grassland provides negligible suitability for reptiles.
- 6.37 Providing that the grassland is managed to maintain a short sward, no further survey work or mitigation is required for reptiles.

Great crested newt

- 6.38 Data obtained from Krag include one records of great crested newt within 1km of the Site, 0.64km NW of the Site in 2020.
- 6.39 Habitat within the Site, including grazed modified grassland with scattered trees, provides terrestrial habitat of low suitability for great crested newt.
- 6.40 There are no waterbodies within the Site. According to Krag pond records, OS maps, MAGIC map and aerial images there are four waterbodies within 250m of the Site:
- Waterbody 1 is a raised swimming pool in the adjacent property, Starwood. Waterbody 3 is a swimming pool located 50m S of the Site boundary. Waterbody 5 is a stream which runs through Starmore wood, 100m from the Site boundary. These waterbodies are unsuitable for great crested newts.
 - Waterbody 2 is a pond 80m W of the Site boundary. This waterbody may provide suitability for great crested newts.

- Waterbody 4 is a pond 250m east of the Site boundary. A Habitat Suitability Assessment was carried out for this pond in May 2020 (Native Ecology, 2020). The pond was found to have a score of 0.72 and was therefore assessed to provide 'good' suitability for great crested newt.

6.41 Great crested newt are considered further in Section 8.

Invertebrates

6.42 Vegetation within the Site, provides suitable habitat to support a range of common and widespread invertebrates. Protected or rare invertebrates are unlikely to be present.

6.43 No further survey work or mitigation is recommended for invertebrates.

Flora

6.44 Due to the past and present management of the Site, the areas of habitat are unlikely to support protected plant species. No evidence of Schedule 9 plants was found during the Site survey.

6.45 No further survey work or mitigation is recommended for flora.

7. PHOTOGRAPHS



Photograph 1. Grazed modified grassland, northern section.



Photograph 2. Grazed modified grassland, southern section.



Photograph 3. Gravel and concrete hardstanding.



Photograph 4. Tree T3.



Photograph 5. Tree T4.



Photograph 6. Access driveway.

8. ECOLOGICAL CONSTRAINTS

- 8.1 The potential impacts of the proposed development on those Ecological Features that have not been scoped out in Section 6 are considered below.

DESIGNATED SITES

Local Sites and Ancient Woodland

- 8.2 The development Site lies approximately 15m south of Court Wood Local Wildlife Site and 20m south of Starmore Wood Ancient Woodland.
- 8.3 Given the small scale of proposals, direct impact to the LWS and ancient woodland are unlikely. However accidental damage to these sensitive woodland sites may occur during construction works.
- 8.4 Mitigation to avoid accidental damage to the nearby LWS and Ancient Woodland is outlined in Section 10.

PROTECTED AND NOTABLE SPECIES

Roosting bats (trees)

- 8.5 Trees T1, T2, T3 and T5 are assessed to provide low suitability for roosting bats. Tree T4 is assessed to provide moderate suitability for roosting bats.
- 8.6 Removal of any of these trees, should it be required, could result in the killing or injury of bats, if present.
- 8.7 Trees that are assessed to provide low suitability for bats can be removed using precautionary mitigation (detailed in Section 10) to minimise the risk of harm to bats.
- 8.8 Should tree T4 (moderate suitability) require removal, further survey work is recommended (detailed in Section 9) to determine the presence or likely absence of roosting bats.

Foraging and commuting bats

- 8.9 Habitats within the Site, including grazed modified grassland with scattered trees, provide moderate suitability for foraging and commuting bats.
- 8.10 Proposals do not include the severance of any potential foraging or commuting corridors, therefore direct impacts to foraging and commuting bats are unlikely and no further survey work is required.
- 8.11 Bats are nocturnal and rely on dark habitat corridors for foraging and commuting, therefore indirect impacts could occur through spillage of artificial lighting associated with parking and buildings within the Site post-construction.

- 8.12 Precautionary mitigation to avoid impacts through the careful design of lighting is recommended within Section 10. Enhancement measures, outlined within Section 11, have the potential to improve the value of habitats within the Site for foraging and commuting bats.

Badger

- 8.13 During the survey, no signs of badger were present within the Site or within the immediate adjacent land. Therefore, any impacts to a badger whilst it is occupying its sett is unlikely.
- 8.14 Given its location within a rural area, the occasional presence of badger within the construction area cannot be ruled out.
- 8.15 In the absence of appropriate precautionary measures, although unlikely, individual badger could be harmed during the construction phase.
- 8.16 Precautionary mitigation to minimise the risk of harming individual badgers during construction works is detailed in Section 10.

Hedgehog

- 8.17 Development proposals are unlikely to impact on local hedgehog populations and therefore no further survey work is required. However, in the absence of suitable mitigation, individual hedgehogs may be harmed during works.
- 8.18 Precautionary mitigation to reduce the risk of killing or injuring individual hedgehog is detailed within Section 11.

Nesting birds

- 8.19 The trees and stables (buildings 4-7) provide suitable habitat for nesting birds.
- 8.20 The buildings will be demolished as part of proposals.
- 8.21 Given the small area of suitable bird nesting habitat which will be removed, it is unlikely that development proposals will impact bird populations within the locality, therefore no further survey work for nesting birds is recommended.
- 8.22 Mitigation measures to avoid impacts to nesting birds through the timing of works are outlined within Section 10.
- 8.23 Enhancement measures, outlined within Section 11, have the potential to improve the value of habitats within the Site for birds.

Great crested newt

- 8.24 Following the breeding season (March - June) when great crested newts congregate in ponds, they typically disperse throughout suitable habitat that is within 250m.
- 8.25 Waterbodies 1, 3 and 5, within 250m of the Site boundary, do not provide suitable aquatic habitat for great crested newt.

- 8.26 A previous HSI assessment of waterbody 4 found that the pond has 'good' suitability for great crested newt (Native Ecology, 2020). This pond lies 250m from the boundary of the Site. In isolation, given the distance of this pond, the low suitability of the site and the higher suitability of terrestrial habitat within the wider area, it is unlikely that any great crested newt associated with this pond would be impacted by works within the Site.
- 8.27 Waterbody 2 is 80m of the Site boundary and may provide suitable aquatic habitat for great crested newt.
- 8.28 Due to the presence of a potentially suitable pond (waterbody 2) within the surrounding landscape, the suitable terrestrial habitat and nearby records of the species, great crested newt may be present within the Site.
- 8.29 Options for further survey work / assessment for great crested newt are detailed in Section 9.

9. FURTHER SURVEY WORK

- 9.1 Further survey work for roosting bats is recommended to determine the presence or likely absence of bats within tree T4 and to inform suitable avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures, ***should removal of the tree be required.***
- 9.2 Further survey work / assessment for great crested newt is recommended to inform suitable avoidance, mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures.

ROOSTING BATS

Presence / likely absence surveys

- 9.3 ***Should tree T4 require removal to facilitate works***, bat emergence / re-entry surveys are recommended to determine the presence or likely absence of roosting bats. Bat surveys should be undertaken in accordance with Bat Conservation Trust Good Practice Guidelines (Collins, 2016).
- 9.4 As tree T4 provides moderate suitability, the following survey effort is required:

Survey effort and timing

- One dusk emergence survey and one pre-dawn survey between May and September, with at least one survey between May and August.
- Surveys to be spaced at least 2 weeks apart.

GREAT CRESTED NEWT

Option 1: Survey Approach

Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) assessment

- 9.5 A HSI survey could be undertaken to determine the suitability of waterbody 2 (located within 250m of the Site) to support great crested newt.

Survey effort and timing

- An HSI assessment to be undertaken of waterbody 2 at anytime of year (optimum March - September).

- 9.6 The results of the HSI assessment will determine whether presence / likely absence survey work for great crested newt is required for waterbody 2.

Presence / likely absence surveys

- 9.7 Should the HSI assessment determine waterbody 2 provides suitable habitat to support great crested newt, then a presence / likely absence survey will be required. The survey should follow Natural England's Great Crested Newt Mitigation Guidelines (2001).

Survey effort and timing

- Water samples to be used for e-DNA analysis may be collected between 15th April and 30th June.

- 9.8 If great crested newts are found to be present within the ponds surveyed, a population assessment will be required in order to support sufficient data to support an EPSM Licence application.
- 9.9 The EPSM Licence will detail the proposals for protection of individual great crested newts as well as measures to maintain the favourable conservation status of this species within the local area through appropriate mitigation and compensation measures.

Option 2: District Level Licensing (DLL)

- 9.10 The District Level Licensing scheme could be entered as an alternative to undertaking the HSI assessment and any presence / absence survey work that may be required. To compensate for any potential impacts to great crested newt, a European Protected Species Mitigation (EPSM) Licence could be obtained through the use of the DLLS. A 'Conservation Payment Certificate' (CPC) document would need to be obtained from Natural England and a countersigned agreement would need to be submitted to the Local Planning Authority.
- 9.11 On approval of Planning Permission, and prior to the start of works, the 'Conservation Payment' will need to be made to Natural England and a District Level Licence obtained.

10. MITIGATION MEASURES

ECOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

- 10.1 Following completion of the recommended further survey work / assessment, an Ecological Impact Assessment (EclA) report should be produced to support the planning application. The EclA report will detail the results of roosting bat and great crested newt surveys / assessment and any required mitigation that will be implemented prior to and during the construction phase and post development to ensure that potential ecological impacts are avoided, minimised and compensated for.
- 10.2 The mitigation measures detailed below should also be included within an EclA report and implemented as part of development proposals to minimise any potential impacts to Ecological Features.

LOCAL SITES AND ANCIENT WOODLAND

- 10.3 In order to avoid any possible accidental impacts to the adjacent and nearby LWS and Ancient Woodland the following precautionary measures should be adhered to during the construction phase:
- At least one site worker and/or ecologist should provide the roles of Ecological Clerk of Works (ECoW) and will work with the site manager and contractors to ensure that all precautionary avoidance measures are adhered to during works.
 - All site contractors should be briefed by the ECoWs at the start of works as to the location of the Ancient Woodland and the importance of avoidance measures to be undertaken during construction works.
 - No refuelling, repairs or maintenance to vehicles or machinery that include engine fuel, hydraulic or lubrication systems should be undertaken within 30m of the Ancient Woodland.
 - If appropriate, dust suppression measures should be employed throughout works.
 - A spill management plan should be in place throughout works.
 - Any waste materials should be safely stored and removed from the Site as soon as possible.
- 10.4 Species which are invasive in woodland, such as rhododendron (*Rhododendron sp*), cherry laurel, creeping bell flower (*Campanula rapunculoides*) and Spanish blue-bell (*Hyacinthoides hispanica*), should not be included in the planting plan for any part of the Site.

PROTECTED AND NOTABLE SPECIES

- 10.5 Appropriate mitigation for roosting bats and great crested newt will be informed by further survey / assessment for this species.

Roosting bats

- Should trees T1, T2, T3 or T5 require removal it is recommended that the following precautionary mitigation is undertaken in order to reduce the risk of the killing or injury of individual bats from low to negligible:
- The arborist will be informed of the need for vigilance during pruning / felling activities.
- Work will be undertaken in a sensitive manner.

- The trees will be cut in sections that will be lowered to the ground and inspected. Any limbs or sections of the tree found to contain PRFs will be left next to the felled tree for at least 48 hours prior to removal from site.
- In the unlikely event that a bat is found during works, arboricultural works will cease and a licenced bat ecologist contacted immediately.

Foraging and commuting bats

Habitat retention and enhancement

- 10.6 It is recommended that measures are implemented to enhance boundary habitat for foraging and commuting bats and increase connectivity within the surrounding habitat. This could include planting of native species hedgerow, outlined in Section 11.

Careful lighting design

- 10.7 In order to reduce a low potential, indirect impact on foraging and commuting bats to negligible, mitigation to reduce any effects of artificial lighting should be implemented, as far as possible and where applicable, in accordance with guidance issued by the Bat Conservation Trust and Institute of Lighting Professionals (ILP, 2018).
- The boundary vegetation should not be illuminated so that dark flight corridors for bats are retained.
 - Any external lighting should be operated with motion sensors, where health and safety allows.
 - Metal halide and fluorescent sources should not be used.
 - A warm white spectrum (ideally 2700Kelvin) should be adopted to reduce the blue light component.
 - LED luminaries should be used which have a sharp cut off and lower intensity to avoid light trespass.
 - Luminaries should feature peak wavelengths higher than 550nm to avoid the component of light most disturbing to bats.
 - Internal luminaries in close proximity to windows should be recessed, where possible, to reduce external glare and light spill.
 - Column heights should be as low as possible to avoid unnecessary light spill.
 - Luminaries should be mounted on the horizontal to avoid upward spill.

Badger

- 10.8 The following mitigation should be implemented during construction works to avoid harm to individual badgers:
- All holes and excavations should be covered over each night to prevent animals from being trapped or injured.
 - If this is not possible, a structure/plank should be placed into the hole to enable animals to escape.

Hedgehog

10.9 The following mitigation should be implemented for hedgehog during the clearance of any vegetation or log piles within the Site in order to avoid harm to individual animals:

- A toolbox talk to contractors prior to the start of works should be undertaken to inform site workers of the potential presence of hedgehog within the Site.
- Care should be taken when clearing vegetation or log piles to avoid harming hedgehog that may be sheltering within the site:
- If a hedgehog is found (without young) within the site between April and October inclusive then it should be carefully relocated to an area outside the development site that offers immediate shelter.
- If a nesting hedgehog with young is found between May and October inclusive (breeding season) then an ecologist should be contacted immediately for advice.
- If a hibernating hedgehog is found between November and March inclusive (hibernation season) then an ecologist should be contacted immediately for advice.

10.10 The following mitigation should be implemented for hedgehog during the construction phase:

- All holes and excavations should be covered over each night to prevent animals from being trapped or injured.
- If this is not possible, a structure/plank should be placed into the hole to enable animals to escape.
- Any removal of building materials or other debris, should be undertaken with care to prevent harm to hedgehog.
- If any hedgehogs are found during the construction phase they should be carefully relocated to an area outside the development site that offers immediate shelter.

10.11 The following mitigation will be implemented for hedgehog post-development:

- Any close board fencing to be used will be fitted with small openings within gravel boards to allow hedgehogs access throughout the site. At least one entrance hole will be fitted into each boundary.

Nesting Birds

Habitat retention and enhancement

10.12 It is recommended that measures, such as creation of hedgerow, are implemented to enhance habitat for nesting birds.

Avoid impact to nesting birds

10.13 The following mitigation should be implemented to avoid impact to nesting birds:

- Demolition of the buildings and works to any vegetation (if required) should be undertaken outside of the bird nesting season where ever possible.

10.14 If impacts to the buildings are unavoidable between March and September, then the following mitigation will be undertaken:

- A nesting bird survey will be undertaken by a suitably experienced ecologist within at least 48hours prior to any impacts.
- A watching brief will be carried out by a suitably experienced ecologist during any works that impact suitable vegetation within the site.
- If nesting/nest-building birds are found, no works will commence/continue that are likely to damage or significantly disturb a nest until the young have fully fledged.

10.15 Works undertaken during the bird nesting season may result in significant delays to the development programme if activities need to cease due the presence of an active nest. It is important to note that some bird species, such as blackbirds and robins are multiple brooders and may therefore nest within the Site for a number of months.

10.16 In most cases, a nesting bird survey is not suitable for ruling out the presence of nesting birds in vegetation.

11. SUGGESTED ENHANCEMENT MEASURES

- 11.1 It is recommended that ecological enhancement measures are included within the proposals. Possible habitat enhancement measures are outlined below.

TREE PLANTING

- 11.2 Additional tree planting around the boundaries of the Site would benefit nesting birds and foraging bats, amongst other species. Trees that produce fruits, such as *Prunus* and *Sorbus* species, will provide additional foraging habitat for birds within the locality.

HEDGEROW CREATION

- 11.3 Native species-rich hedgerows could be created along Site boundaries. Species could include hazel, hawthorn, spindle, holly, yew, privet, field rose, dog rose and guelder rose.
- 11.4 Flowering species, such as hawthorn, privet and rose would provide opportunities for nectar feeding invertebrates, such as bumblebees, hover flies and butterflies. Creation of these habitat feature would also benefit bats by providing additional foraging habitat and birds through additional nesting habitat.

NATIVE AND NECTAR RICH PLANTING PLAN

- 11.5 It is recommended that any planting plans around new buildings include native, flower rich species, including those that flower in the late and early seasons to enhance the biodiversity value of the site.
- 11.6 The inclusion of climbing plants would add sheltering opportunities for invertebrates and birds. They can also produce nectar rich flowers for butterflies, bees and hover flies and fruit for birds and small mammals.
- 11.7 The inclusion of herbs, such as lavender and sage, would provide nectar for an array of invertebrate species, including bees, butterflies and moths. Providing a range of herb plants would ensure flowering throughout the seasons. The inclusion of plants that produce scent at night would attract night flying invertebrates and as such would also provide foraging opportunities for bats.

BAT BOXES

- 11.8 Development provides an opportunity to enhance the site for bats via provision of roosting opportunities.
- 11.9 Integrated bat boxes, such as a 1FR Schwegler Bat Tube, or similar, could be installed on new buildings within the Site. Integrated bat boxes should be primarily located on the south and west facing aspects located at least 3m above the ground, but can also be installed on different elevations to provide a variety of different environmental roost conditions. Alternatively, bat access tiles can be incorporated into roof elevations of the new houses.
- 11.10 Bat boxes, such as 2F Schwegler Bat Box (General Purpose) or similar, could be installed on mature trees within the Site. Boxes will be located at least 3m above ground level and preferably over 4m and in a variety of aspects.

BIRD BOXES

- 11.11 Bird boxes could be integrated into new houses. Woodcrete exterior or integrated terrace boxes for house sparrows should be located 2-4m in height and arranged so that loose colonies of house sparrows are encouraged. Bird boxes should be located close to eaves and on the north or east elevations to avoid direct sunlight.
- 11.12 Bird boxes suitable for house martins, such as Schwegler House Martin nest No. 13 or similar, could be integrated into the external fabric of the new building at ridge height, where possible.
- 11.13 Bird boxes suitable for hole nesting species, such as Schwegler 1B or similar, could be installed on mature trees within the Site. Bird boxes should be located on north or east elevations to avoid direct sunlight.

12. REFERENCES

- CIEEM (2016) Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland: Terrestrial, Freshwater and Coastal, 2nd edition. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.
- CIEEM (2017). Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal, 2nd edition. Chartered Institute for Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.
- CIEEM (2017a). Guidelines for Ecological Report Writing. Chartered Institute for Ecology and Environmental Management, Winchester.
- Collins, J. (ed.) (2016) Bat surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines (3rd edn). The Bat Conservation Trust, London.
- Institute of Lighting Professionals & Bat Conservation Trust (2018). Bats and artificial lighting in the UK. Bats and the built environment series. Guidance Note 08/18.
- JNCC (2015a). Natura 2000 - Standard Data Form (North Downs Woodland SAC). <https://jncc.gov.uk/jncc-assets/SAC-N2K/UK0030225.pdf> (accessed: 09/03/2022).
- JNCC (2015b). Natura 2000 - Standard Data Form (Thames Estuary and Marshes SPA). <https://jncc.gov.uk/jncc-assets/SPA-N2K/UK9012021.pdf> (accessed: 09/03/2022).
- JNCC (2020). Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands (RIS) (Thames Estuary and Marshes Ramsar). <https://jncc.gov.uk/jncc-assets/RIS/UK11069.pdf> (accessed: 09/03/2022).
- Multi-agency Geographic Information for the Countryside (MAGIC) Interactive Map. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. <http://magic.defra.gov.uk> (accessed: 09/03/2022).
- Native Ecology (2020). Ecological Impact Assessment Report (ref 0499_Rev A). Native Ecology, Kent.
- Natural England (1984a). SSSI citation (Great Crabbles Wood). <https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/1003716.pdf> (accessed 09/03/2022).
- Natural England (1984b). SSSI citation (Cobham Woods). <https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/1003501.pdf> (accessed 09/03/2022).
- Natural England (1988). SSSI citation (Shorne and Ashenbank Woods). <https://designatedsites.naturalengland.org.uk/PDFsForWeb/Citation/1001250.pdf> (accessed 09/03/2022).
- UK Biodiversity Action Plan; Priority Habitat Descriptions (2008). BRIG (ed. Ant Maddock).
- UK Habitat Classification Working Group (2018). UK Habitats Classification - Habitat Definitions V1.0 at <http://ecountability.co.uk/ukhabitatworkinggroup-ukhab>.
- UK Habitat Classification Working Group (2018). UK Habitats Classification User Manual at <http://ecountability.co.uk/ukhabitatworkinggroup-ukhab>.

13. APPENDIX 1: SUMMARY OF PLANNING POLICY AND LEGISLATION

- 13.1 Species afforded protection under the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 are also known as European Protected Species. European Protected Species include all species of bats, hazel dormice and great crested newt.
- 13.2 European Protected Species relate to those listed within the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 and are afforded the highest level of protection. These species are also protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Taken together this level of protection makes it an offence to:
- deliberately capture, injure or kill any wild animal of a European protected species,
 - deliberately disturb wild animals of any such species
 - deliberately take or destroy the eggs of such an animal
 - damage or destroy a breeding site or resting place of such an animal
- 13.3 Disturbance of animals includes in particular any disturbance which is likely:
- to impair their ability to survive, to breed or reproduce, or to rear or nurture their young, or
 - in the case of animals of a hibernating or migratory species, impair their ability to hibernate or migrate
 - to affect significantly the local distribution or abundance of the species to which they belong
- 13.4 The legislation requires that any derogation be dealt with by licencing through an appropriate licencing body (Natural England in England). In determining whether a licence can be granted the licencing body must apply the requirements of Regulation 53, and in particular, the three tests:
1. Regulation 55(2)(e) states: a licence can be granted for the purposes of “preserving public health or public safety or other imperative reasons of overriding public interest including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment”.
 2. Regulation 55(9) states: The relevant licensing body must not grant a licence under this regulation unless it is satisfied—
 - (a) that there is no satisfactory alternative; and
 - (b) that the action authorised will not be detrimental to the maintenance of the population of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range.

PLANTS

- 13.5 A number of plant species are protected under Schedule 8 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. This Schedule lists plant species that are protected under Section 13, which protects from picking and sale of plants or parts of plants listed in Schedule 8.

BIRDS

13.6 All nesting birds are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. With certain exceptions, it is an offence to:

- Kill, injure or take wild birds;
- Take, damage or destroy the nest of wild birds while in use or being built;
- Take or destroy the eggs of wild birds;
- Intentionally or recklessly disturb any wild bird listed on Schedule 1 while it is nest building, or at a nest containing eggs or young, or disturb the dependent young of such a bird.

Birds of Conservation Concern

13.7 After reviewing the status of all bird species in the UK, the leading non-governmental bird conservation organisations agreed priorities for bird conservation. This led to the publication of a list of Birds of Conservation Concern. Bird species are either listed as red, amber or green, depending on their status and conservation objectives. Birds listed as red require urgent, effective conservation action.

BADGERS

13.8 Badgers are protected under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992. Under this legislation it is an offence to:

- Wilfully kill, injure or take a badger (or attempt to do so).
- Cruelly ill-treat a badger.
- Dig for a badger.
- Intentionally or recklessly damage or destroy a badger sett, or obstruct access to it.
- Cause a dog to enter a badger sett.
- Disturb a badger when it is occupying a sett.

COMMON REPTILES

13.9 All common and widespread reptiles, which include viviparous lizard, slow worm, grass snake and adder are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. This makes it an offence to:

- Intentionally or recklessly kill or injure reptiles
- Sell, offer for sale, possess or transport for the purpose of sale or publish advertisement to buy or sell any reptile.

INVERTEBRATES

13.10 A small number of invertebrates are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, relating to the designation of SACs, including white-clawed crayfish and Desmoulin's whorl snail.

13.11 A number of invertebrate species also protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act, such as the heath fritillary and fairy shrimp. Species listed under Schedule 5 are protected from one, some or all of the following:

- Intentional killing, injuring, taking
- Possession or control (live or dead animal, part or derivative)
- Damage to, destruction of, obstruction of access to any structure or place used by a scheduled animal for shelter or protection
- Disturbance of animal occupying such a structure or place
- Offering for sale, possessing or transporting for the purpose of sale (live or dead animal, part or derivative)
- Advertising for buying or selling live or dead animal, part or derivative

STATUTORY PROTECTED SITES

13.12 Special Protection Areas and Special Areas of Conservation are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019.

13.13 Sites of special scientific interest (SSSIs) are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Natural England is responsible for notifying SSSIs, ensuring they are managed appropriately and assessing and monitoring their condition.

13.14 National Nature reserves are created to protect important wildlife habitats, while also providing a resource for scientific research and recreation. Declared under the National Parks and Access to the Countryside and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981.

NON-STATUTORY PROTECTED SITES

Ancient Woodland

13.15 Land with continuous woodland cover since at least 1600AD. Ancient woods are recognised in UK planning policy, but do not have statutory protection.

NATURAL ENVIRONMENT AND RURAL COMMUNITIES (NERC) ACT 2006

13.16 Following consultation with Natural England, the Secretary of State identified species and habitats considered to be of principal importance for the conservation of biological diversity in England. These species and habitats are listed under Section 41 of the Act. The list is to be kept under review and revisions are made as necessary as part of the progress reports on the Biodiversity Strategy for England.

13.17 Following the Biological Diversity in Japan, 2012, a new initiative in England, 'Biodiversity 2020', replaced the former UK Biodiversity Action Plan Species aiming to reinforce the protection of Section 41 habitats and species.

THE NATIONAL PLANNING POLICY FRAMEWORK

13.18 The National Planning Policy Framework was revised in July 2021 and sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. Within this document, Chapter 15 is titled Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment.

13.19 Of particular relevance within this chapter are the following statements:

Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:

- *minimising impacts on and providing net gains for biodiversity, including by establishing coherent ecological networks that are more resilient to current and future pressures.*

To protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity, plans should:

- *promote the conservation, restoration and enhancement of priority habitats, ecological networks and the protection and recovery of priority species; and identify and pursue opportunities for securing measurable net gains for biodiversity.*

When determining planning applications, local planning authorities should apply the following principles:

a) if significant harm to biodiversity resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused;

b) development on land within or outside a Site of Special Scientific Interest, and which is likely to have an adverse effect on it (either individually or in combination with other developments), should not normally be permitted. The only exception is where the benefits of the development in the location proposed clearly outweigh both its likely impact on the features of the site that make it of special scientific interest, and any broader impacts on the national network of Sites of Special Scientific Interest;

c) development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats (such as ancient woodland and ancient or veteran trees) should be refused, unless there are wholly exceptional reasons and a suitable compensation strategy exists; and

d) development whose primary objective is to conserve or enhance biodiversity should be supported; while opportunities to incorporate biodiversity improvements in and around developments should be encouraged, especially where this can secure measurable net gains for biodiversity.

14. APPENDIX 2: SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT OF ROOSTING HABITAT (STRUCTURES AND TREES)

Table 8. Assessing potential suitability of roosting habitat (structures and trees) for bats and survey effort required. Adapted from Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists, Good Practice Guidelines 3rd Edition (Collins, 2016).

Suitability	Description of roosting habitat	Survey effort* and timing
Negligible	Negligible habitat features on site likely to be used by roosting bats.	None required.
Low	A structure or tree with one or more potential roost sites that could be used by individual bats opportunistically. However, these potential roost sites do not provide enough space, shelter, protection, appropriate conditions and/or suitable surrounding habitat to be used on a regular basis or by larger numbers of bats (i.e. unlikely to be suitable for maternity or hibernation).	Buildings/structures: One survey visit. One dusk emergence or dawn re-entry survey. Timing: May to August. Trees: None required.
Moderate	A structure or tree with one or more potential roost sites that could be used by bats due to their size, shelter, protection, conditions and surrounding habitat but unlikely to support a roost of high conservation status (with respect to roost type only – the assessments in this table are made irrespective of species conservation status, which is established after presence is confirmed).	Two separate survey visits. One dusk emergence and a separate dawn re-entry survey. Surveys should be spaced a minimum of two weeks apart. Timing: May to September with at least one survey undertaken between May - August.
High	A structure or tree with one or more potential roost sites that are obviously suitable for use by larger numbers of bats on a more regular basis and potentially for longer periods of time due to their size, shelter, protection, conditions and surrounding habitat.	Three separate survey visits. At least one dusk emergence and a separate dawn re-entry survey. The third visit could be either dusk or dawn. Surveys should be spaced a minimum of two weeks apart. Timing: May to September with at least two surveys undertaken between May - August.

* Recommended minimum number of survey visits for presence/absence surveys to give confidence in a negative result for structures and trees.

15. APPENDIX 3: HABITAT PLAN

See overleaf

Gravesham Borough Council



Legend

Site boundary

g4 - modified grassland

u1 - built-up areas and gardens
(introduced shrubs)

u1b - developed land,
sealed surface

u1b5 - buildings

u1c - artificial unvegetated
unsealed surface

Line of trees

Tree
(with potential roost features)

Note:

Habitats mapped by eye based on UK Habitat Classification following a site visit undertaken on 01/03/2022.

native ecology PROMOTING BIODIVERSITY INTEGRATION	
Habitat Plan	
Rear of Starwood Pear Tree Lane, Shorne Kent	
Drawing ref:	0920_DR01
Revision:	-
Date:	07/03/2022
Scale:	1:500
Paper size:	A3

16. APPENDIX 4: DESIGNATED SITES PLAN


See overleaf

Gravesham Borough Council

17. APPENDIX 5: WATERBODY PLAN

See overleaf

Gravesham Borough Council



Legend

Site boundary

250m buffer

native ecology PROMOTING BIODIVERSITY INTEGRATION	
Waterbody Location Plan	
Rear of Starwood Pear Tree Lane, Shorne Kent	
Drawing ref:	0920_DR03
Revision:	-
Date:	07/03/2022
Scale:	1:4500
Paper size:	A3