

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/04/2024 10:25 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To 55 Forge Lane Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7AH
Proposal:	Application for variation of condition 2 attached to planning permission reference 20200234 for erection of a three bedroomed detached dwelling; to allow a lower roof line.[cr]
Case Officer:	Mr Ethan Bonthron

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Forge Lane Higham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	The whole dimensions of the property are not as detailed on the initial plans. It is 4 to 5ft lower than the properties either side, disregarding the lower roof line which is a glaringly obvious deviation. The ground floor is approx 5 ft lower than [REDACTED] not as detailed on the plans. Please come and view, they have destabilised the land at the side of the neighbouring properties and not built walls or supporting structures to stop erosion of the gardens and then the properties. The property needs to be built as detailed in the initial plans, the plans should not be retrospectively altered, this is a joke, have they also put in need changes for the position of the facia boards, the windows and doors, the ground floor level, the position of the property on the site relative to the neighbouring houses, as the position of the front elevation is not as the plans and the rear elevation comes out further than the neighbouring properties and the plans, what do they propose to do to support the earth they have dug out at the side of the neighbouring properties, the gap to the right hand side of the property which shows a path on the plans is narrower than detailed on the plans. What is the excuse for this blatant disregard of procedures with no thought of the affect these omissions have on the neighbouring properties. I object to this retrospective planning variation. The house needs to be build as detailed on the initial plan not make it up as you go along.
-----------	---

Kind regards