

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 24/07/2024 5:39 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Cherry Dene Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Erection of two detached houses with associated car parking, cart lodge car port and new entrance drive.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	We strongly object to this application which seems little different from Application 20231049 refused last December on 3 grounds. We believe refusal on grounds 1 & 3 still apply. Ground1: Previous refusal related to large size & height of proposed properties on a tapering plot resulting in an incongruous & cramped development out of character in surrounding area. Both new houses are too big for plot size & larger than surrounding houses. Dwelling A is a huge 5 bed dormer bungalow filling whole width of Cherry Dene's tapering back garden. 5 windows upstairs and 3 down would totally overlook the back [REDACTED] house, [REDACTED] narrow garden and neighbours' gardens. The long side wall of the garage plus 2 storey house right next to [REDACTED] narrow garden will totally exclude all light from the west for us and neighbours. Dwelling B, a large 4 bed house, located right next [REDACTED] boundary and sidewall of [REDACTED] house, extends beyond the front and back building lines of [REDACTED] house. Extremely high pitched roof and double storey at back will exclude all light into [REDACTED] kitchen, living room and patio from the west. [REDACTED] garden will feel like a prison yard! Ground 3: Previous refusal related to access onto Norwood Lane. Norwood Lane is narrow, access is on a bend, has poor visibility and there is no road lighting in the lane nor a footpath on our side. The road to Dwelling A curls around behind Cherry Dene with 2 bends - this still impacts access for large vehicles e.g. fire engine as previous refusal stated. The 1989 application was also refused on traffic safety grounds. Traffic volumes are only worse since then. We also object to: The destruction of many mature trees and hedges. Numerous mature trees will be destroyed, currently providing homes for many animals, birds etc. We have also seen bats. Replacement planting scheme will take years to mature. The boundary between us and Cherry Dene (100m+) is a long mature mixed hedge. Any removal of this is totally unacceptable & unnecessary, removing our privacy & amenity. The increased noise and pollution. This will detrimentally affect [REDACTED] amenity and privacy with 2 houses built so close to our boundary.
-----------	---

This development will adversely alter the character of Norwood Lane, introduce increased traffic & parking hazards and have profound negative effects on wildlife and the general environment. It should NOT be recommended. We reiterate our objection.

Footnote re applicant's proposed plans/drawings:

It appears that the floor plan shown of Dwelling A does not match the site plan & elevations (garage on wrong side?)

Kind regards